



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Shital Patel*

Course: *B.A.*

Department: *Polity*

Year/Semester: (*2<sup>nd</sup>*) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>6</i>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>7</i>
3.	Depth of the course content	<i>8</i>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<i>9</i>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<i>6</i>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>7</i>
7.	Overall rating	<i>8</i>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <i>2</i> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <i>1</i> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <i>1</i> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>5</i> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <i>1</i> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <i>1</i> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <i>1</i> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <i>1</i> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <i>1</i> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <i>1</i> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Shivangi Singh

Course: B.A

Department: Aut

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: अमित चौरसिया

Course: B.A

Department: Art

Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓		✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Nidhi Kumari

Course: B.A

Department: Ase

Year/Semester: (-III-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	4		✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Poraj Thathera

Course: B.A. III<sup>sem</sup>

Department: Asst

Year/Semester: (-III-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 4 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 2 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2011-15

Name: Divyansh Agrawal

Course: B.A.

Department: Art

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 4 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 2 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Shane Rasool

Course: B.A.

Department: Arts

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. <u>Challenging</u>	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
-----------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. <u>Very good</u>	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
---------------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. <u>Very good</u>	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
---------------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. <u>Very Good</u>	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
---------------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. <u>Very Helpful</u>	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
------------------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. <u>Very Often</u>	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
----------------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. <u>Co-operative</u>	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
------------------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. <u>Yes</u>	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
---------------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. <u>Proudly</u>	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
-------------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Savitri Parveen

Course: B.A.

Department: Asst

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	2
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
3.	Interaction with faculty			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
4.	Interaction with administration			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Library facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	Computer facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Aarti Thathera

Course: B.A

Department: ART

Year/Semester: 2017/II (III/IV)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra-curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓	✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Nandani Seth

Course: B.Sc (Maths)

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Nandani Seth

7668908429



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Paijyanshi Verma

Course: B.Sc. (Maths)

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities				✓	

Paijyanshi Verma

9452686506



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Saloni Parajapati course: B.Sc. 1<sup>st</sup> Sem

Department: Science (Chemistry) Year/Semester: (1) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>5</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>3</u> / <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	-------------------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities				✓	

Mo. No. - 9363573760  
सलीनी प्रजापति



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Babali

Course: B.Sc

Department: Science (Chemistry) Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	6
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>5</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>3</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

मो. नं. = 890078240  
Babali



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Tanisha Yadav

Course: B.Sc (Maths)

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (I<sup>st</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	3
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative officers?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(4)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Mo. no - 6392344831

Tanisha



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-24

Name: Harshit Raj

Course: B.Sc. (Math)

Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Year/Semester: (2) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>7</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>7</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>7</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>7</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>7</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>7</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>42</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>3</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative officers?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>2</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

8115302766



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Ayush Vishwakarma Course: B.Sc  
 Department: \_\_\_\_\_ Year/Semester: (First) / I / II / III / IV (Second Sem.)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	90

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓			✓	
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Aditi Kumari

Course: BSC (Math's)

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

mob . 9151500337



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Meenu Mingi

Course: Bsc (Chemistry, Zoology)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (25) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	4.5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>2</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓	✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓	✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

8881174156



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Deepika Dubey

Course: BSc (BZC)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (25) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	10
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	10
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Mobile No. → 95 63 48 9145



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Shiva Kumar

Course: B.Sc Mathematics

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (I<sup>st</sup>) I/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative officers?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Shiva Kumar



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-2025

Name: Vipin Kumar

Course: Bsc Bio

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓	✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Mo- 9582415230



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Shivam Maurya

Course: B.Sc (Math)

Department: Mathematics

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	3
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	10
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

8303376127

Shivam Maurya

Mobile no. → 8303376127  
शिवम मौर्या



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Jigyasa Biswal

Course: BSC

Department: Biology

Year/Semester: (Te-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	10
5.	Extent of effort required by students	10
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 5 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 4 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 5 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 3 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 2 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration				✓	
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities				✓	
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Prince Chauhan

Course: B.Sc (Math)

Department: Mathematics

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(9)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(3)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration				✓	
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities				✓	
9.	Sports facilities					✓

Prince Chauhan  
7619062606



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Sanjana Patel*

Course: *BSc II<sup>nd</sup>*

Department: *Chemistry*

Year/Semester: (*2<sup>nd</sup>*) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Mansi Pal

Course: B.Sc.

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>5</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>6</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>5</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>6</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>5</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>5</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. <u>Adequate</u>	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	--------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. <u>Relevant</u>	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. <u>75 to 90%</u>	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	---------------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. <u>Adequate</u>	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	--------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	----------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	----------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. <u>Equal</u>	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	-----------------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. <u>Helpful</u>	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	-------------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. <u>Sometimes</u>	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	---------------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. <u>Co-Operative</u>	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
------------------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. <u>Marginally</u>	3. No	( )
--------	----------------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. <u>Proudly</u>	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
-------------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Recreational facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
9.	Sports facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-24

Name: Mushkan Sonker

Course: B.Sc (BZC)

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(4)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2024~~-2025

Name: Shrigyanishi Gupta

Course: B.Sc.

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Khushi Singh

Course: B.S-C

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	4
4.	Extent of coverage of course	4
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7.	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓	✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Riya Srivastava

Course: B.Sc. Bio

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) / I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>7</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>8</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>8</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>8</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>7</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>8</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>3</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Kumar Parina

Course: B.S.C - Bio

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>-</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>-</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>-</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>-</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>-</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>-</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>-</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>-</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>-</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>-</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓	✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Pushkar Sahaj

Course: B.Sc (Bio)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Utsav Agrahari

Course: B.Sc Bio

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Stuti Agrahari

Course: BSc Bio

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Ritu Seth

Course: BSC

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I)<sup>V</sup> I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>6</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>6</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>8</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>7</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>7</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>7</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>7</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>4</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>4</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>4</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Janki Seth

Course: BSC

Department: Science (BSC)

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Shubhangi Bapat

Course: B.Sc (Bio)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (1<sup>st</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Prince Rawat

Course: Bsc

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Overall 11/10
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Mugkan Sonkan

Course: B.Sc.

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (B.Sc.) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>8</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>9</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>9</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>9</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>9</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Saniya Sayed

Course: B.Sc. (Bio)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (---I---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 3 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl.	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Abdullah Nair

Course: B.Sc (Bio)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (---I---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>8</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>7</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>7</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>7</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>7</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>6</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>7</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Rafiya Rizwan

Course: Bsc. Biology

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I/S) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities					
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities					
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Anamika Patel

Course: B.Sc

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 3 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 3 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 3 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓	✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Tyoti Yadav

Course: B.Sc

Department: B.Sc (B.A)

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Rahul Sonikar Course: B.Sc - B.Ed

Department: Science Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>Very Good</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>Very good</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>Very good</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>Very good</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>Extremely good</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>Very good</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>Good</u>

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrelevant	( )
---	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	--	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	---	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	--	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Average	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	( )
---	-------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Average	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Poor	(1)
---	-------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Superior	<input type="checkbox"/> Equal	<input type="checkbox"/> Inferior	(1)
--	--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Poor	(1)
---	-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	--	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	---	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very Often	<input type="checkbox"/> Often	<input type="checkbox"/> Sometimes	<input type="checkbox"/> Rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> Never	(1)
--	--------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	---	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Marginally	<input type="checkbox"/> No	(1)
---	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	---	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Harsh Gulltam

Course: B.S.C. 4th

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (4th) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>4</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Prashant Kumar

Course: B.Sc. Bio

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (3rd) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Vary Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Sanjeev Sonkar

Course: B.Sc - Bio

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (III) II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 4 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: GAURAV KUMAR SEHGAL

Course: B.Sc.

Department: SCIENCE

Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Terrible	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 4 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 4 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 4 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Rani Anjan

Course: B.Sc (Bio)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Bijayshri Prajapati

Course: B.Sc. (Bio)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>2</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Rajmati Patel

Course: BSC (Bio)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Ujala Nishad

Course: BSc (Bio)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (1<sup>st</sup>) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	-	✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Sonam Kumari*

Course: *Bsc (Bio)*

Department: *Science*

Year/Semester: *2021-22 / I / III / IV*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(4)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(4)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(4)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Ujjwal Jaiswal

Course: B.Sc Bio (Hons)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>4</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>3</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>4</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>4</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>4</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>4</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>4</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>4</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓	✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	•	✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-2025

Name: Arzhal Iqbal

Course: B.Sc (Bio) 3<sup>rd</sup>

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I<sup>st</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	4
4.	Extent of coverage of course	4
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7.	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative officers?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities				✓	



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Sonal Sharma Course: BSC (Bio)  
 Department: Science Year/Semester: (1<sup>st</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 4 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 4 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cambersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 2 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 2 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Tariya Agnawal

Course: Bsc Bio

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I-II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(-)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
3.	Interaction with faculty			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
4.	Interaction with administration			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Deepak Malviya Course: B.Sc Bio  
 Department: Science Year/Semester: (2) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓	✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓	✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Asif Khan

Course: B. Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(5)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Dilka Pooveen Course: B-Com  
 Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Excessively Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	100% Feedback
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Aashi Chaudhary

Course: B.Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (1<sup>st</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	4
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(3)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Sadhika Devi

Course: B-Ed

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (7) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
---	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(5)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
2.	Fairness of evaluation					
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	Computer facilities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
9.	Sports facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year <sup>2024-25</sup>

Name: Ritu Jaiswal

Course: B.Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (<sup>5<sup>th</sup></sup>) II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(5)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(9)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(4)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: ALOK KUMAR SINHA Course: B. Ed.

Department: EDUCATION Year/Semester: (I) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 3 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 4 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 4 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl.	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration				✓	
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities					✓
9.	Sports facilities				✓	



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Aman Singh

Course: B.Ed

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>7</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>9</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>7</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>9</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	<u>9</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>9</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>4</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>3</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>6</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Recreational facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024/25

Name: SHYAM LAL YADAV Course: B. Ed.  
 Department: Education Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 3 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name : \_\_\_\_\_ Course : \_\_\_\_\_  
 Department : \_\_\_\_\_ Year/Semester : (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Sonu Pragyapati

Course: B. Ed.

Department: B. Ed.

Year/Semester: (2024-25) II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of projects/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Chanchala Rao Course: B. ed  
 Department: Education Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓	✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Vanshi Kumar

Course: B.Ed

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (1<sup>st</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative officers?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Maitunjay Kumar Singh* Course: *B.ed 1<sup>st</sup> Semester*

Department :

Year/Semester : (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Name - *Maitunjay Kumar Singh*

Mob No - *9616353298*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Sounabh Rai*

Course: *B.Ed I<sup>st</sup> semester*

Department :

Year/Semester: *(2024-25) II / III / IV*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(4)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name - *Sounabh Rai*

Mobile - *9170411426*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: PRATI KSHA SINGH

Course: B.Ed.

Department: EDUCATION

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

*SP*

Mob No: 9305961503



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Neha Singh

Course: B.Ed

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (I/II/III/IV)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>9</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>9</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>9</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>8</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>9</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Neha Singh  
8707780259



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-26

Name: Dharmraj Kumar Maurya Course: B. Ed.

Department: B. Ed. Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓	✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

NAME - Dharmraj Kumar Maurya

Roll - 80

Mobile - 7267966802



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Priyanka Patel

Course: B.Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (I) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Priyanka Patel  
9555890326



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Varsha Kumari*

Course :

Department : *Education*

Year/Semester : (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Varshakumari*  
7080954705



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2026

Name: SANDHYA SINGH

Course: B.Ed.

Department: Education D.

Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Sandhya Singh

9696156260



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Madhu Sharma

Course: (B. Ed.)

Department: Education (B. Ed.)

Year/Semester: (1<sup>st</sup>) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Madhu Sharma*  
Mob- 9235876279



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Bhagwati Pandey

Course :

Department : B. Ed.

Year/Semester : (2023) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Bhagwati Pandey

9170903531



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Rishi Kumari Course: B. Ed.

Department: B. Ed. Dep. (Education) Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report.	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Rishi  
8932906277



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-26

Name: Km. Vandana

Course: B.Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (2024) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration					
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Mob → 8303875899

Vandana



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Ajitesh Pratap Singh

Course: B.Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(3)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(3)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

A Singh  
Mobile No. - 90443893



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Safna Yadav* Course: *B.Ed*  
 Department: *Education* Year/Semester: *(I)* I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 4 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 5 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 4 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 4 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Safna Yadav*

8707582756



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2026

Name: SARITA BHARDWAJ

Course: B. Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Sarita  
9580630601



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Swati Rai

Course: B.Ed.

Department: B.Ed. (General)

Year/Semester: (-I-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓	✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Swati Rai

9369117826



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Gyanendra Mishra*

Course: *B-ED. I<sup>st</sup> Sem.*

Department: *Education*

Year/Semester: (-----) *I* / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9 ✓	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 4 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 4 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 4 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Gyanendra*  
8545875263



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Archiraman Dubey Course: MSc Chemistry  
 Department: Department of Chemistry Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(4)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(4)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Archiraman Dubey

10624721029



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: SUNIL KUMAR PATEL Course: M.Sc Chemistry  
 Department: Dept of Chemistry Year/Semester: (-III-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 3 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 4 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Sunil Patel  
10624-72-1036



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: AKASH VISHWAKARMA Course: M.Sc Chemistry

Department: Dept. of Chemistry Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( \ )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 4 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( \ )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( \ )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( \ )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( \ )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 2 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( \ )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 2 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Akash Vishwakarma  
10629721028



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Vikash Gupta

Course: MSC

Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Vikas Gupta

10 6247 20 39



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Sudha Patel Course: M.Sc Chemistry  
 Department: Dept of chemistry Year/Semester: (2022-23) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 4 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Sudha Patel  
 Roll. No. 10624721025



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Sakshi Maurya

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Dept. of Chemistry

Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	10
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	10
3.	Depth of the course content	10
4.	Extent of coverage of course	10
5.	Extent of effort required by students	10
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	10
7.	Overall rating	10

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Sakshi Maurya  
Roll no. 10624721019



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Anshika Singh Course: M.Sc (Chemistry)  
Department: Dept of chemistry Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	10
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 3 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 3 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 4 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Anshika Singh  
Roll no. 10624721004



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Ankita Singh

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Dept. of chemistry

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(5)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Ankita Singh  
Roll no. 10624721003



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Mayank Singh

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Mayank Singh  
10624921033



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Atul Yadav

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Atul Yadav.  
10624921030



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Kiran Yadav

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	4
4.	Extent of coverage of course	4
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7.	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Kiran Yadav

10624921012



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Sonali Singh

Course: M.Sc. (Chemistry)

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (III<sup>rd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 2 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Sonali Singh  
Roll no. - 10624721024

Sonali Singh



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Fiza Naurreen

Course: MSc Chemistry

Department: Department of Chemistry

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3.5
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Gaurav Mukharjee

Course: MSc Chemistry

Department :

Year/Semester : (III<sup>rd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Gaurav Mukharjee  
No 10624721031



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Bhawana Keshari Course: M.Sc. Chemistry  
 Department: Chemistry dep. Year/Semester: (II<sup>nd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	2
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Bhawana Keshari  
10624721005



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Shruti Course: M.Sc. Chemistry  
 Department: Chemistry department Year/Semester: (II<sup>nd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	2
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Shruti  
10624721023



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Vandita Rai

Course: M.Sc. Chemistry

Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Year/Semester: (III<sup>rd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 2 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Vandita Rai  
10624721026



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Abdul Baqi Course: M.Sc. Chemistry

Department: \_\_\_\_\_ Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Abdul Baqi  
A B

10624721027



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Himanshu Yadav

Course: M.Sc. Chemistry

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Himanshu Yadav  
8874273908



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Subham Sharma

Course: M.Sc (Chemistry)

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>5</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>3</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>8</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>3</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>3</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>3</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>3</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Subham Sharma  
9959595479



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Vishal Kumar Gautam Course: M.Sc Chemistry

Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓	✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Vishal Kumar Gautam  
7080686510



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Ravi Kumar

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (I<sup>st</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>9</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>9</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>9</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>9</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>9</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>9</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Name - Ravi Kumar

Phone No. 8532076304



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: ANJALI PRAJAPATI

Course: M.Sc CHEMISTRY

Department: CHEMISTRY

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>4</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Anjali Prajapati

9580082340



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name : ANKIT CHAUHAN

Course : M.Sc.

Department : CHEMISTRY

Year/Semester : <sup>Final</sup> (2024) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

ANKIT CHAUHAN

3451864374



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Manish Kumar Singh Course: Msc. chemistry

Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (I-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name - Manish Kumar Singh  
Phone no - 731139949



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Nancy Jaiswal

Course: MSc (chemistry)

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 5 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Nancy Jaiswal  
8707548323



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: SONI SINHA

Course: M.Sc. CHEMISTRY

Department: CHEMISTRY

Year/Semester: (III) I/ II/ III/ IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

SONI SINHA

9235485617



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Akash Kumar Panjapati Course: M.Sc Chemistry

Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	1				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	1				
3.	Interaction with faculty	1				
4.	Interaction with administration	1				
5.	Library facilities			2		
6.	Computer facilities		1			
7.	Recreational facilities	1				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	1				
9.	Sports facilities	1				

→ Akash Kumar Panjapati

mob- 7398056075



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: ANJALI VISHWAKARMA Course :

Department : CHEMISTRY Year/Semester : (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>7</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>8</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>7</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>8</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>8</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>4</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>3</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>4</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>4</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>4</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>2</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>4</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Anjali Vishwakarma

9885055768



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Khushboo Kumari Course: Msc Chemistry

Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (2-) II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Khushboo Kumari  
9369777053



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Muskan Sone

Course: M.Sc. (Chemistry)

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Muskan Sone

8737065727



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Siddharth Ray

Course: M.Sc

Department: Chem.

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

8115072975

Siddharth Ray



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Sandhya Singh* Course: *M.Sc. (Chemistry)*  
 Department: *Chemistry* Year/Semester: *(2<sup>nd</sup>) I / II / III / IV*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Sandhya Singh*

*10624721020*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: ANGAD VISHWAKARMA

Course: MSC

Department: CHEMISTRY

Year/Semester: (~~I<sup>st</sup>~~) II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

NAME - ANGAD VISHWAKARMA

MO-NO - 7275602825



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Jagniti Shukla

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (I)/I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Jagniti Shukla  
Mobile no - 9869943787



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Selva Chatterjee

Course: M.Sc. Chemistry

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers in extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Selva Chatterjee  
Mobile no: 9161738150



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: TUSHAR SHAW

Course: MSc Chemistry

Department: CHEMISTRY

Year/Semester: (-I-) V / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 3 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl.	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Name - Tushar Shaw  
Mob - 6388372798



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Shyam Kumar Keshari

Course: M.Sc. Chemistry

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (I-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

*Shyam Kumar Keshari*  
9795300766



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Smriti Pandey Course: M.Sc (Chemistry)

Department: (Chemistry) Year/Semester: (I-M) II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl.	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name - Smriti Pandey  
Class - M.Sc (Chemistry)  
Mob no. - 9919000499



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Ankita

Course: B.Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Very Good (8)
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Very Good (8)
3.	Depth of the course content	(9)
4.	Extent of coverage of course	(9)
5.	Extent of effort required by students	(8)
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	(9)
7.	Overall rating	(8)

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓	✗		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Ankita



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Sara Tasneem*

Course: *B.com.*

Department: *Commerce*

Year/Semester: (*I*) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <i>L</i> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <i>L</i> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <i>L</i> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>L</i> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>L</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <i>L</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <i>L</i> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>L</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>L</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <i>L</i> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <i>L</i> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <i>L</i> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <i>L</i> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <i>L</i> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Sara Tasneem*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Karishma Maurya Course: B.Com  
 Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of projects/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Karishma*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name : *Vaibhavi gaswami*

Course : *B.COM*

Department : *Commerce*

Year/Semester : (*2*) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>9</i>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>9</i>
3.	Depth of the course content	<i>9</i>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<i>9</i>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<i>8</i>
6.	Relevance/learning value of projects/report	<i>9</i>
7.	Overall rating	<i>9</i>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <i>1</i> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <i>2</i> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <i>1</i> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>2</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <i>1</i> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>2</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <i>1</i> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <i>2</i> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <i>1</i> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <i>1</i> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <i>1</i> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

*Vaibhavi gaswami*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: AYUSHUPADHYAYA

Course: Commerce (B.Com)

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I-V) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of projects/report	9
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Ayush*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Mansij Ahmad

Course: B.Com.

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (2<sup>nd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Mansij



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Akanksha Vishwakarma Course: B. Com

Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Akanksha



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Rakky Bhasini

Course: B.Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓	✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓	✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Kaushi Yadav

Course: B.COM

Department: COMMENCE

Year/Semester: (1st) II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Kaushi Yadav*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Kanchan Sahani

Course: B. Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Kanchan Sahani



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Anjali Kumari Choudhan* Course: *B. Com*

Department: *Commerce* Year/Semester: *(III) I / II / III / IV*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

*Anjali*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: DilKas Parveen Course: B. Com  
 Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

DilKas Parveen



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Khushboo Sankari

Course: B.COM

Department: W.F.

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of projects/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

खुशबू संकार



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Esha

Course: B. Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓	✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓	✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Esha



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Nimisha Srivastava Course: M.Com

Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Divyanshi Shukla Course: M.COM  
 Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	100
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	100
3.	Depth of the course content	100
4.	Extent of coverage of course	100
5.	Extent of effort required by students	100
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	100
7.	Overall rating	100

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities				✓	



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Shweta Jaiswal

Course: M.COM

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (1<sup>st</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Pratham Vishwakarma Course: M. Com

Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (I<sup>st</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Items	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Devanshi Shukla

Course: M. COM.

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I) / I / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Shikha Sinha

Course: M-Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Shashi Verma

Course: MT.COM

Department: COMMERCE

Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 3 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 4 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 4 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Unbearable	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 4 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 3 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Ankita Singh

Course: M.Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Pakhi Chaturvedi,

Course: M.com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation					
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: Shreyashi Singh

Course: M.Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (III) / II / III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Items	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 24-25

Name: *Nazim Fardeen*

Course: *M. Com.*

Department: *Commerce*

Year/Semester: *(III) I / II / III / IV*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2019-20

Name: Kashish Yadav

Course: M.com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>4</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>4</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>4</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>3</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>3</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>3</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sporns facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-24

Name: Shreya Yadav

Course: M.COM

Department: COMMERCE

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: VIKASH DUBEY

Mobile no./ E-mail: 6386718034 / vikashdubey@gmail.com

Company Name Address: (DSETS) BAWAL HARYANA (123501)

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill	✓			
2.	Working as part of team	✓			
3.	Level self-motivation	✓			
4.	Technical knowledge and skills	✓			
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors	✓			
6.	Planning and organisational skill	✓			
7.	Punctuality & time management	✓			
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques	✓			
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization	✓			

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
									✓

*Vikash*  
(Signature)

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: Pradeep Maurya  
 Mobile no./ E-mail: 8543993618 | 2pvm61mkt@gmail.com  
 Company Name Address: 2P Vm61 MKT PVT LTD  
B-234 new Friends colony Taimoor Nagar  
Delhi 110065

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		✓		
2.	Working as part of team		✓		
3.	Level self-motivation		✓		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills	✓			
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors	✓			
6.	Planning and organisational skill		✓		
7.	Punctuality & time management	✓			
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques			✓	
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		✓		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
									✓

*(Signature)*  
 20/05/2025

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: Rajkumar Yadav

Mobile no./ E-mail: 7000000052

Company Name Address: Nadesor (New tyhotel)  
1m/10k colony varanasi

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill	✓			
2.	Working as part of team				✓
3.	Level self-motivation		✓		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills			✓	
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		✓		
6.	Planning and organisational skill		✓		
7.	Punctuality & time management			✓	
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		✓		
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization			✓	

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						✓			

  
(Signature)

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: ..... Alok Kumar Singh .....

Mobile no./ E-mail: ..... 7799531917 ; syeka12@gmail.com .....

Company Name Address: ..... Syeka Electricals & Management Enterprises, Gandhinagar, PNB Bank, Noida up. .....

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill	✓			
2.	Working as part of team	✓			
3.	Level self-motivation		✓		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills	✓			
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		✓		
6.	Planning and organisational skill		✓		
7.	Punctuality & time management		✓		
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques	✓			
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization	✓			

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
									✓

  
(Signature)  
30/06/2025

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: NIRAS PANDBY

Mobile no./ E-mail: 9967261202 @ 9BE9A @JPSOL

Company Name Address: Saathi Complex VARANASI  
Ayazat

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill			✓	
2.	Working as part of team			✓	
3.	Level self-motivation			✓	
4.	Technical knowledge and skills		✓		
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		✓		
6.	Planning and organisational skill			✓	
7.	Punctuality & time management			✓	
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques			✓	
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization			✓	

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				✓					

*Niras*  
(Signature)

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: INDORIET YADAV

Mobile no./ E-mail: 8840350050

Company Name Address: G.S. EMERALD N.R. Shri  
Dot Nages Mahanagar Varanasi 221108

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		✓		
2.	Working as part of team	✓			
3.	Level self-motivation		✓		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills		✓		
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		✓		
6.	Planning and organisational skill		✓		
7.	Punctuality & time management			✓	
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques	✓			
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization	✓			

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					✓				

(Signature)

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

**SESSION: 2024-25**



Name of the Person: Mohd. Masim

Mobile no./ E-mail: 9974832425 brightfuture01963@gmail.com

Company Name Address: Bright Future  
Sector 44 Indiranagar Lucknow

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill	✓			
2.	Working as part of team			✓	
3.	Level self-motivation		✓		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills	✓			
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors			✓	
6.	Planning and organisational skill	✓			
7.	Punctuality & time management		✓		
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques	✓			
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		✓		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
							✓		

  
 (Signature)

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: ..... Abhishek Kumar .....

Mobile no./ E-mail: ..... 8932073349 Yesconstructiong.d@gmail.com .....

Company Name Address: ..... Yes Construction - Farukhabad .....

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill	✓			
2.	Working as part of team			✓	
3.	Level self-motivation			✓	
4.	Technical knowledge and skills		✓		
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors	✓			
6.	Planning and organisational skill			✓	
7.	Punctuality & time management		✓		
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		✓		
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization			✓	

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
							✓		

(Signature)

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: ..... Ranjeet mani Tripathi .....

Mobile no./ E-mail: ..... 9693551771 .....

Company Name Address: ..... Pukhraj Health care Pvt. LTD. ....

..... Anand nagar colony near nabhiya dai mandir chandmasi  
Varanasi .....

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill	✓			
2.	Working as part of team	✓			
3.	Level self-motivation	✓			
4.	Technical knowledge and skills	✓			
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors	✓			
6.	Planning and organisational skill	✓			
7.	Punctuality & time management	✓			
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques	✓			
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization	✓			

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
									10

(Signature)

*R. Mani*  
30/6/25

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: Vishal Kumar Vishwakarma

Mobile no./ E-mail: 7860516876 / kvjankalyantrust@gmail.com

Company Name Address: K.V. Jankalyan Trust

Mahmoudganj Varanasi

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill			✓	
2.	Working as part of team			✓	
3.	Level self-motivation				✓
4.	Technical knowledge and skills			✓	
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors			✓	
6.	Planning and organisational skill				✓
7.	Punctuality & time management			✓	
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques			✓	
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization			✓	

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						✓			

(Signature)

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: ..... Prateep, Kumar Singh

Mobile no./ E-mail: ..... 8210750827

Company Name Address: ..... Patam

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		✓		
2.	Working as part of team			✓	
3.	Level self-motivation		✓		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills		✓		
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors			✓	
6.	Planning and organisational skill			✓	
7.	Punctuality & time management			✓	
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		✓		
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization			✓	

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
								✓	

Prateep Kumar Singh  
(Signature)

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: ..... Shagun Pandey .....

Mobile no./ E-mail: ..... 6387899528 / Shagun.rightsidestory@gmail.com .....

Company Name Address: ..... Right Side Story .....

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill			✓	
2.	Working as part of team			✓	
3.	Level self-motivation				✓
4.	Technical knowledge and skills			✓	
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors			✓	
6.	Planning and organisational skill				✓
7.	Punctuality & time management			✓	
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques			✓	
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization				✓

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						✓			

Shagun Pandey  
(Signature)

# HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, VARANASI

## EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2024-25



Name of the Person: ..... Jitendra Srivostava .....

Mobile no./ E-mail: ..... 9670246016 .....

Company Name Address: ..... Proctor & Gamble / Right Side Story .....

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		✓		
2.	Working as part of team		✓		
3.	Level self-motivation		✓		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills			✓	
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		✓		
6.	Planning and organisational skill			✓	
7.	Punctuality & time management		✓		
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques			✓	
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		✓		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
							✓		

  
(Signature)

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Ravi Prakash**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Ravi Prakash
<b>Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Course studied:</b>	LL.B.
<b>Passing Year:</b>	1995
<b>Designation:</b>	Director
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2013
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	jprav1969@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Very good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Very good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Very good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>
Not at all.

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Sanjay Srivastava**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Sanjay Srivastava
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	PhD
<b>Passing Year:</b>	2006
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Joining Year:</b>	1999
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sanjaychandravns@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Very good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Very good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Very good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Very good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Very good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>
Some ad-on certificate courses should be introduced

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Kanak lata Vishwakarma**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Kanak lata Vishwakarma
<b>Faculty:</b>	Education
<b>Course studied:</b>	B.Ed.
<b>Passing Year:</b>	1990
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2005
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	kanaklatav1969@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Very good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Fair
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Very good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Fair
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Very good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Dr. Om Prakash Singh**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Dr. Om Prakash Singh
<b>Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Course studied:</b>	M.Com.
<b>Passing Year:</b>	1980
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate professor /Principal incharge
<b>Joining Year:</b>	1982
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	opsingh.hcpg@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Fair
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Very good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Very good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>
Try to get autonomous college status

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of अमित कुमार**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	अमित कुमार
Faculty:	Arts
Course studied:	PhD
Passing Year:	2024
Designation:	असिस्टेंट प्रोफेसर
Joining Year:	2022
E-mail ID:	amitkumaresapur@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
01.	Admission Procedure	Good
02.	Fee Structure	Good
03.	Environment	Good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
05.	Faculty	Very good
06.	Quality of support material	Good
07.	Training & Placement	Good
08.	Library	Good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Prabhakar Singh**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Prabhakar Singh
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	PhD
<b>Passing Year:</b>	1995
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor in Statistics
<b>Joining Year:</b>	1987
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	prabhakarsingh06725@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Dr Pratima Singh**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Dr Pratima Singh
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	PhD
<b>Passing Year:</b>	2008
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant professor
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2012
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	singhpratima3115@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Fair
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Rajesh Kumar Keshri**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Rajesh Kumar Keshri
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	M.Sc.
<b>Passing Year:</b>	1995
<b>Designation:</b>	Architect, (Chief Designer)
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2007
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	rajekesh@rediffmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Very good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Very good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>
Need of Upgrading of College Infrastructure in all aspects.

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Abhinav Kumar**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Abhinav Kumar
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	M.Sc.
<b>Passing Year:</b>	2002
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2009
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	kumar_abhinav@lkouniv.ac.in

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Fair
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Fair
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Fair
<b>08.</b>	Library	Fair
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Dr. Arun Kant**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Dr. Arun Kant
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	M.Sc.
<b>Passing Year:</b>	2008
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2018
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	arun107941@kmc.du.ac.in

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Very good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Fair
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Very good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Sangam**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Sangam
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	M.Sc.
<b>Passing Year:</b>	2020
<b>Designation:</b>	Guest faculty
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2021
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sangamsssg@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Very good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Very good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Very good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Very good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Very good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Very good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>
Ladies toilet needs special attention

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Dr. Chanda Verma**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Dr. Chanda Verma
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	PhD
<b>Passing Year:</b>	2022
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant professor
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2021
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	Chandaverma21@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Very good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Very good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Very good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Satisfactory
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Very good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>
No any one, all the above criteria are fulfilled.

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Anulika Verma**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Anulika Verma
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	M.Sc.
<b>Passing Year:</b>	2013
<b>Designation:</b>	None
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2015
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	Anulika1990@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Very good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Very good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Very good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Very good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>
No

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Dr Geeta Rani**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Dr Geeta Rani
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	B.Sc.
<b>Passing Year:</b>	1992
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant professor
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2003
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	rsgeeta14@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Very good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Very good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Very good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Very good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Fair
<b>08.</b>	Library	Good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Very good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>
Requir car parking

**Alumni Feedback Form 2024-25**  
**Individual Response of Himanshu Shrivastava**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Name of Alumnus:</b>	Himanshu Shrivastava
<b>Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Course studied:</b>	M.Sc.
<b>Passing Year:</b>	2014
<b>Designation:</b>	Range Forest Officer(UPPCS 2018 Batch)
<b>Joining Year:</b>	2018
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	icchimanshu@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	Admission Procedure	Good
<b>02.</b>	Fee Structure	Very good
<b>03.</b>	Environment	Good
<b>04.</b>	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
<b>05.</b>	Faculty	Very good
<b>06.</b>	Quality of support material	Good
<b>07.</b>	Training & Placement	Good
<b>08.</b>	Library	Good
<b>09.</b>	Overall rating of the college	Very good

<b>Suggestion if any:</b>

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Darshan Sharma

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Darshan Sharma
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Physics
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	7460090354
E-mail ID:	dsharma@hpcpgcollege.edu.in

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Babuji

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Babuji
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Zoology
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9795782447
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	babujigoutam@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Debabrata Karmakar

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Debabrata Karmakar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Zoology
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7029130092
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	devyabrata1996@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Prof. Ragini Srivastava

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Ragini Srivastava
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Ancient History
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9450540989
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	raginisri14@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Mr. Mohammad Abu Shahid**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Mohammad Abu Shahid
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9044663496
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	abushahidadv@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Dr. Dharmendra Kumar Gupta**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Dharmendra Kumar Gupta
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8896411618
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	dharmendragupta61@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24 Individual Response of Dr. Amit Kumar

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Amit Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Hindi
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9628801067
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	amitkumaresapur@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Pramod kumar

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Pramod kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	B.Ed.
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9935784201
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	pramodbhu79@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Mr. Ajay Kumar Gautam**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Ajay Kumar Gautam
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9838797330
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	Akgautambhu7@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Rajendra Prasad

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Rajendra Prasad
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	B.Ed.
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9452839424
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	rprasad@hpcpgcollege.edu.in

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Mr. KARUNESH OJHA**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. KARUNESH OJHA
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Economics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7388416517
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ecokarunesh@hpcpgcollege.edu.in

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Prof. Ashok Kumar Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Ashok Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9450540988
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	dr.ashoksingh1@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Ramesh Kumar

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Ramesh Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8604250204
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	hrameshkmr@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Below Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. RAJPAL

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. RAJPAL
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8924850995
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	rpal11791@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Surya Pratap

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Surya Pratap
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7398299415
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	suryakvpy2012@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Prof. Kanak Lata Vishwakarma

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Kanak Lata Vishwakarma
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	B.Ed.
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415986005
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	kanaklatav1969@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Ms. Divyani Baranwal

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Ms. Divyani Baranwal
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7380459844
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	divyanibaranwal@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Mr. AMAR PRATAP SINGH**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. AMAR PRATAP SINGH
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	GEOGRAPHY
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8299049218
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	amarps1998@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Prof. Sanjay Kumar Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Sanjay Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physical education
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7007246117
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	singhsanjaysports@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Prof. Sanjay Srivastava

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Sanjay Srivastava
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Botany
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415635846
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sanjaychandravns@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Prof. Anita Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Anita Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	B.Ed.
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415449081
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	anitasingh34@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Prof. Anuradha Rai

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Anuradha Rai
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	B.Ed.
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415222340
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	anuradha.hcp@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Average
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Below Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Below Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Below Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Below Average
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Below Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	No
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	No

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Prof. Prof. Jagadish Singh**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Prof. Jagadish Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Economics
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9935615143
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	jagdishsingh.23@rediffmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Mr. VINEET KUMAR**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. VINEET KUMAR
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Medieval & Modern History
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7903738767
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	vk9bhu@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Brajesh pathak

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Brajesh pathak
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8318339627
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	brajeshpathak2010@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Mr. Dilip kumar singh**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Dilip kumar singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Political science
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8601414000
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	dilipvns03@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Anil Kumar

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Anil Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415979336
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	anilk642@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Shri Prakash Gupta

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Shri Prakash Gupta
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9792003576
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	spgupta@hpcpgcollege.edu.in

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Sonal Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Sonal Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9454864514
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	singhsonal2121@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Prof. Birendra Kumar Nirmal**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Birendra Kumar Nirmal
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Zoology
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9450542658
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	bnirmal271@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Mr. YOGENDRA PRASAD**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. YOGENDRA PRASAD
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Mathematics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9125340961
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ypyprasad@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Om Sharma

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Om Sharma
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415813223
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	omsharma1974@rediffmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Sangita Shukla

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Sangita Shukla
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Zoology
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7985751115
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sangitahcpg@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Mr. Saransh lohiya**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Saransh lohiya
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Mathematics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7897610090
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	lohiyasaransh@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Ms. Janhvi

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Ms. Janhvi
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8577829861
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	Janhvi.k4@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Mrs. Sangita Srivastava**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mrs. Sangita Srivastava
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Mathematics
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8318664728
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	s.srivastava067@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. ALOK KUMAR SINGH

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. ALOK KUMAR SINGH
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Botany
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7355316950
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	alokmirzapur1985@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Sarvatej Kumar Maurya

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Sarvatej Kumar Maurya
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8299153596
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sarvatejmaurya234@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Average
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Below Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	No
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	No

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Ram Lal

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Ram Lal
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Zoology
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9473838311
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	rmlal75@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Prof. VISHWANATH**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. VISHWANATH
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Ancient History
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415990082
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	drvn.verma@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Dr.Shubhra Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Dr.Shubhra Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9451268718
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	drshubhra2@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Amit Pandey

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Amit Pandey
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Geography
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8528267913
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	amitpandey8273@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Devendra Pratap Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Devendra Pratap Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	B.Ed.
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9889571522
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	devendrapratap99@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Achchhe Lal

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Achchhe Lal
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9453641030
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	A.LALUPAC@GMAIL.COM

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Ajeet Kumar

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Ajeet Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	6390335100
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ajeetkr.vns@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Vivek Yadav

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Vivek Yadav
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9044453701
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	vivekyadav1031@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Pratima Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Pratima Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Zoology
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9473654675
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	singhpratima3115@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Dr. Shivanand Yadav**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Shivanand Yadav
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Geography
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	6306563474
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	gprshiva@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Dr. Ram Asheesh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Dr. Ram Asheesh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Hindi
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8318081863
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ashish1985bhu@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Prof. Anupam Shahi

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Anupam Shahi
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Political science
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415355687
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	shahianupam69@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Dr. Purnima Kumari Pal

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Dr. Purnima Kumari Pal
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Sociology
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9795475768
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	purnimapal2010@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Sonal Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Sonal Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9454864514
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	singhsonal2121@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Mrs. Pragya Ojha**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mrs. Pragya Ojha
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Hindi
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7909604154
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	pragyojha1996@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Mrs. Vijaya

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Mrs. Vijaya
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9140173082
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	vijayakahan@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Average
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Below Average
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Below Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Rakesh Mani Mishra

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Rakesh Mani Mishra
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9450113715
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	rakeshmanimishra@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Shankar Ram

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Shankar Ram
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9450231266
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sramaya125@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Prof. Richa Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Richa Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Hindu
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9918616961
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	baby.shiv1974@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Vandana Pandey

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Vandana Pandey
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8858352557
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	vandana21076@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Average
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Manoj Kumar

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Manoj Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7007225273
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	abhyudayapal8 @gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Satyendra Kumar Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Satyendra Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415812265
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	satyendrahcp91974@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Below Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Dr. UMESH KUMAR RAI**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. UMESH KUMAR RAI
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Political Science
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415447787
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	umesh.ur31@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Ms. Akanksha Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Ms. Akanksha Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	English
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	6307606434
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	akku860.as@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Raushan Kumar Jaisawal

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Raushan Kumar Jaisawal
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Statistics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9889272702
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	raushanjaisawal11@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Shiv Narayan Dubey

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Shiv Narayan Dubey
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Botany
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8318679861
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sndubeyupcollege@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Anuj Prakash

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Anuj Prakash
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Botany
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415811916
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	anuj20976@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Mr. Ankit Raghuvanshi

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Ankit Raghuvanshi
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7408856532
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ankitraghuvanshi278@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Rahul Ranjan

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Rahul Ranjan
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9868562089
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	rahuljain.ranjan@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Dr. Ruchi Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Ruchi Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9026942095
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ruchisingh8bhu@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24**  
**Individual Response of Prof. Ashok Kumar Singh**

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Ashok Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8318848817
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ashok.singh126.as@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	No

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2023-24

### Individual Response of Prof. Prabhakar Singh

Respondent Details:	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Prabhakar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Statistics
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9140013889
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	prabhakarsingh06725@gmail.com

Responses:		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
<b>11.</b>	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
<b>12.</b>	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
<b>13.</b>	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
<b>14.</b>	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes