HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE

MAIDAGIN, VARANASI - 221001

Students Feedback Report of 154 Students

SESSION: 2024-25

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderately Good	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

	Particulars	Rating								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	-	-	-	-	1 [0.6%]	3 [1.9%]	29 [18.8%]	56 [36.5%]	65 [42.2%]
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations.	-	-	-	1 [0.6%]	5 [3.2%]	14 [9.1%]	25 [16.2%]	58 [37.7%]	51 [33.2%]
3.	Depth of the course contents	-	-	-	1 [0.6%]	1 [0.6%]	5 [3.2%]	19 [12.3%]	71 [46.2%]	57 [37.1%]
4.	Extent of coverage of course	-	-	-	-	2 [1.3%]	8 [5.2%]	28 [18.1%]	56 [36.4%]	60 [39.0%]
5.	Extent of effort required by students	-	-	-	-	5 [3.2%]	7 [4.5%]	23 [14.9%]	58 [37.7%]	61 [39.7%]
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	-	-	1 [0.6%]	2 [1.2%]	3 [1.9%]	6 [3.9%]	22 [14.4%]	58 [37.7%]	62 [40.3%]
7.	Overall Rating	1 [0.6%]	-	-	2 [1.2%]	1 [0.6%]	5 [3.2%]	22 [14.4%]	48 [31.2%]	75 [48.6%]

2. Syllabus was

Challenging	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant
108 [70.1%]	43 [27.9%]	03 [1.9%]	-	-

3. Your background for benefitting from the course was

Highly Relevant	Relevant	Partly Relevant	Mostly Irrelevant	Completely Irrelevant
57 [37.0%]	82 [53.2.%]	11 [7.1%]	03 [1.9%]	01 [0.6%]

4. How much syllabus was taught in the class?

90-100%	75-90%	50-75%	40-50%	Less than 40%
64 [41.6%]	62 [40.3%]	19 [12.3%]	07 [4.5%]	02 [1.3%]

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

Excellent	Adequate	Inadequate	Poor	Very Poor
68 [44.2%]	59 [38.3%]	19 [12.3%]	06 [3.9%]	02 [1.3%]

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
73 [47.4%]	69 [44.8%]	11 [7.1%]	-	01 [0.6%]

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
64 [41.6%]	69 [44.8%]	20 [13.0%]	01 [0.6%]	-

8. When you meet students. Who have taken a similar programme at other universities do you feel that your programme is

Superior	Equal	Inferior
73 [47.4%]	72 [46.8%]	09 [5.8%]

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the college as a whole?

Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Very Poor
97 [63.0%]	47 [30.0%]	10 [6.5%]	-	-

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
103 [66.9%]	43 [27.9%]	08 [5.2%]	-	-

11. How do you find the college administrative offices?

Very Helpful	Helpful	Indifferent	Unhelpful	Cumbersome
58 [37.7%]	91 [59.1%]	03 [1.9%]	01 [0.6%]	01 [0.6%]

12. Did you participate in any of the extracurricular activities?

Very often	Often	Sometimes	Rarely	Never
57 [37.0%]	54 [35.1%]	27 [17.5%]	10 [6.5%]	06 [3.9%]

13. What is the attitude of the teachers to extracurricular activities?

Co-operative	Indifferent	Discouraging
132 [85.7%]	22 [14.3%]	-

14. Has your time at the college has been intellectually enriching?

Yes	Marginally	No
119 [77.3%]	34 [22.1%]	01 [0.6%]

15. After leaving the college how will you talk about it?

Proudly	Indifferently	Disparagingly
128 [83.1%]	25 [16.2%]	01 [0.6%]

16. Overall Rating of Progrramme

Sr. no.	Particulars	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
1.	Academic content	97 [63.0%]	48 [31.2%]	09 [5.8%]	-	-
2.	Fairness of evaluation	56 [36.4%]	87 [56.5%]	11 [7.1%]	-	-
3.	Interaction with faculty	96 [62.3%]	46 [29.9%]	12 [7.8%]	-	-
4.	Interaction with administration	74 [48.1%]	61 [39.6%]	16 [10.4%]	03 [1.9%]	-
5.	Library Facilities	55 [35.7%]	66 [42.9%]	28 [18.2%]	05 [3.2%]	-
6.	Computer Facilities	36 [23.4%]	65 [42.2%]	42 [27.3%]	08 [5.2%]	03 [1.9%]
7.	Recreational Facilities	53 [34.4%]	71 [46.1%]	30 [19.5%]	-	-
8.	Extra-curricular Activities	71 [46.1%]	60 [39.0%]	20 [13.0%]	02 [1.3%]	01 [0.6%]
9.	Sports Facilities	78 [50.6%]	57 [37.0%]	13 [8.4%]	05 [3.2%]	01 [0.6%]

COORDINATOR
IQAC
Harishchandra P.G. College
Varanasi

HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE

Affiliated to Mahatma Gandhi Kashi Vidyapith, Varanasi

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK REPORT OF 13 EMPLOYERS

SESSION: 2024-25

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill	06 [46.15%]	04 [30.77%]	03 [23.08%]	-
2.	Working as part of team	04 [30.77%]	02 [15.38%]	06 [46.15%]	01 [7.69%]
3.	Level self-motivation	02 [15.38%]	07 [53.85%]	02 [15.38%]	02 [15.38%]
4.	Technical knowledge and skills	05 [38.46%]	04 [30.77%]	04 [30.77%]	-
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors	04 [30.77%]	05 [38.46%]	04 [30.77%]	-
6.	Planning and organisational skill	03 [23.08%]	04 [30.77%]	04 [30.77%]	02 [15.38%]
7.	Punctuality & time management	03 [23.08%]	04 [30.77%]	06 [46.15%]	-
8.	Open to new ideas &learning new techniques	05 [38.46%]	03 [23.08%]	05 [38.46%]	-
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization	04 [30.77%]	03 [23.08%]	05 [38.46%]	01 [7.69%]

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
- [0.00%]	- [0.00%]	- [0.00%]	- [0.00%]	01 [7.69%]	01 [7.69%]	03 [23.08%]	03 [23.08%]	01 [7.69%]	04 [30.77%]

COORDINATOR
IQAC
Harishchandra P.G. College
Varanasi

HARISH CHANDRA POST GRADUATE COLLEGE

Affiliated to Mahatma Gandhi Kashi Vidyapith, Varanasi

Alumni Feedback Report

Session: 2024-25

1	2	3	4
Satisfactory	Fair	Good	Very Good

Particulars		Rating			
		1	2	3	4
1.	Admission Procedure	-	-	04 [26.7%]	11 [73.3%]
2.	Fee Structure	-	01 [6.7%]	06 [40.0%]	08 [53.3%]
3.	Environment	-	-	09 [60.0%]	06 [40.0%]
4.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	-	02 [13.3%]	10 [66.7%]	03 [20.0%]
5.	Faculty.	-	-	03 [20.0%]	12 [80.0%]
6.	Quality of support material	-	02 [13.3%]	04 [26.7%]	05 [33.3%]
7.	Training & Placement	-	02 [13.3%]	10 [66.7%]	03 [20.0%]
8.	Library	01 [6.7%]	02 [13.3%]	07 [46.7%]	05 [33.3%]
9.	Overall rating of the college	-	-	06 [40.0%]	09 [60.0%]

COORDINATOR
IQAC
Harishchandra P.G. College
Varanasi

Teacher's Feedback Report of 70 Teachers

Session 2024-25

## Nature of Job

■ Permanant □ Self Finance

19%
81%

## Designation

■ Professor ■ Associate Professor ■ Assistant Professor

24%
7%
69%

Department

■ Responses

Department	Responses
Ancient History	2
B.Ed.	6
Botany	4
Chemistry	9
Commerce	6
Economics	2
English	1
Geography	3
Hindi	4
Law	9
Mathematics	3
Medieval & Modern History	1
Physical Education	1
Physics	7
Political Science	3
Sociology	1
Statistics	2
Zoology	6

Responses

1. The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.

Legend: ■ Very Good ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average

Category	Value
Below Average	0
Average	4
Good	32
Very Good	34

Axis: 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40

2. The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.

Legend: ■ Very Good ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average

Category	Value
Below Average	0
Average	11
Good	36
Very Good	23

Axis: 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40

3. The text/reference books are well suited to the course.

Legend: ■ Very Good ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average

Category	Value
Below Average	0
Average	6
Good	40
Very Good	23

Axis: 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45

4. The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.

Legend: Very Good, Good, Average, Below Average

Category	Value
Below Average	1
Average	13
Good	42
Very Good	14

5. Provision to update curriculum time to time.

Legend: Very Good, Good, Average, Below Average

Category	Value
Below Average	1
Average	16
Good	30
Very Good	23

6. How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?

Legend: Very Good, Good, Average, Below Average

Category	Value
Below Average	1
Average	4
Good	48
Very Good	17

7. The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.

Legend: Very Good · Good · Average · Below Average

Category	Value
Below Average	0
Average	7
Good	37
Very Good	29

8. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements.

Legend: Very Good · Good · Average · Below Average

Category	Value
Below Average	2
Average	9
Good	47
Very Good	12

9. Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.

Legend: Very Good · Good · Average · Below Average

Category	Value
Below Average	7
Average	30
Good	24
Very Good	9

10. Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.

Very Good ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average ■

Category	Value
Below Average	1
Average	9
Good	43
Very Good	17

11. Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate

Very Good ■ Good ■ Average ■ Below Average ■

Category	Value
Below Average	3
Average	17
Good	32
Very Good	18

12. Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus

Yes ■ No ■

Category	Value
No	21
Yes	49

13. Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research

Legend: Yes, No

- No: 2
- Yes: 68

14. Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?

Legend: Yes, No

- No: 3
- Yes: 67

15. How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)

Legend: 5, 4, 3, 2, 1

- 1: 7
- 2: 5
- 3: 20
- 4: 24
- 5: 14