

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23 Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. YOGENDRA PRASAD
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Mathematics
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9125340961
E-mail ID:	ypyprasad@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23 Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. A.K. Dwivedi
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physics
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9935750311
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	akdwivedi875@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23 Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Achchhe Lal
Nature of Job:	Self-Finance
Department/Faculty:	Physics/Science
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9453641030
E-mail ID:	a.lalupac@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23 Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Ajay Kumar Gautam
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Department of Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9838797330
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	akgautambhu7@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Ajeet Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law Department
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	6390335100
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ajeetkr.vns@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Ms. Akanksha Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Department of English, Faculty of Arts
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	6307606434
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	akku860.as@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Akhilesh kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	BCA
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9026335473
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	Akhilesh@fmtvaranasi.edu.in

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Alok kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Faculty of management and technology
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9696019403
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	alok.seth4@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Below Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Below Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Alok kumar singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Botany
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7355316950
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	Alokmirzapur@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Amit kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Hindi
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9628801067
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	amitkumaresapur@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Amit Pandey
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Geography
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8528267913
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	Amitpandey8273@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Anil Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry/science
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415979336
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	anilk642@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. ANIL KUMAR
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	B.Ed.
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9452489698
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	anilkedu@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23 Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Anita Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	B.Ed
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415449081
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	anitasingh34@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. ANKIT DUBEY
Nature of Job:	Self-Finance
Department/Faculty:	MBA
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9453520384
E-mail ID:	ankitdubey9809@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23 Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Ankit Raghuvanshi
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7408856532
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ankitpg21820@nujs.edu

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Ankit Srivastav
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	BBA and MBA
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8765450794
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ankitsrivastav369@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Anshuman Dixit
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	BCA
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9580872949
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	anshumandixit.rsmt@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Anupam Shahi
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Political science / social science
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415355687
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	shahianupam69@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Anuradha Rai
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Teacher Education (B.Ed.)
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415222340
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	anuradha.hcpg@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Below Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Below Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Ashish Mishra
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	BCA
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8840636694
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ashish23j@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Ashok Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8318848817
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ashok.singh126.as@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Babuji
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9795782447
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	babujigoutam@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Birendra Kumar Nirmal
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Zoology
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9450542658
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	bnirmal271@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Darshan Sharma
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7460090354
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	dsharma@hpcpgcollege.edu.in

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Below Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Below Average

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Debabrata Karmakar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Zoology
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7029130092
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	devyabrata1996@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Devendra Pratap Singh
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Teacher Education (B. Ed.)
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9889571522
E-mail ID:	devendrapratap99@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Dharmendra Kumar Gupta
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8896411618
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	dharmendragupta61@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Dheeraj Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Botany
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9044580929
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	dheeru.bhu@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Dilip kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Political science /Arts
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8601414000
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	dilipvns03@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. DILIP KUMAR VISHWAKARMA
Nature of Job:	Self-Finance
Department/Faculty:	FACULTY OF MANAGEMENT
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8604225543
E-mail ID:	deepakeducation999@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Ms. Divyani Baranwal
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7380459844
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	divyanibaranwal@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Anuj Prakash
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Science
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415811916
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	anuj20976@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Geeta Rani
Nature of Job:	Self-Finance
Department/Faculty:	Zoology
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9335044094
E-mail ID:	drgeetarani455@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Manoj Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7007225273
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	bhumkumar@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Neelam Upadhyay
Nature of Job:	Self-Finance
Department/Faculty:	Psychology
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	6388289279
E-mail ID:	neelamscpg@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Sonal Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9454864514
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	singhsonal2121@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Abhishek Mishra
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Geography
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9451573817
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	bhu.abhishek@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Below Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Below Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Purnima Kumari Pal
Nature of Job:	Self-Finance
Department/Faculty:	Sociology
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9795475768
E-mail ID:	purnimapal2010@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23 Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Ram Asheesh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Hindi
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8318081863
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sshish1985bhu@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Sangita Shukla
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Zoology
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7985751115
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sangitahcpg@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Vandana pandey
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8858352557
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	vandana21076@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Vijaya
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9140163082
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	vijayakahan@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Ashok Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9450540988
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	dr.ashoksingh1@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Ashutosh Dwivedi.
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Department of psychology
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7052960400
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	dr.ashutosh1970@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Satyendra Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415812265
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	satyendrahcpg1974@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Shiv Narayan Dubey
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Botany
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8318679861
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sdubeyupcollege@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Shivanand Yadav
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Geography
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	6306563474
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	Gprshiva@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Durgesh Singh Yadav
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Teacher Education (B.Ed.)
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9454445555
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	dsyadav@hpcpgcollege.edu.in

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. GARIMA SINGH
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Department of Commerce
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8765360038
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	garimas9494@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Ms. Janhvi
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Law department
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8577829861
E-mail ID:	Janhvi.k4@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Kanak lata vishwakarma
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Education (B.Ed)
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415986005
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	kanaklatav1969@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Karunesh Ojha
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Economics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7388416517
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	karuneshojha1@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Manoj kumar yadav
Nature of Job:	Self-Finance
Department/Faculty:	Bca
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9453998536
E-mail ID:	Yadavmanoj0555@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Mohammad Abu Shahid
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Department of Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8848738807
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	abushahidadv@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Ms. Nidhi
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Statistics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9452943931
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	nidhiraj1408@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Nikhil Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9721513239
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	nikhil030496@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Nripendra Singh
Nature of Job:	Self-Finance
Department/Faculty:	Department of English
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9506441972
E-mail ID:	108nsingh@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Om Sharma
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415813223
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	omsharma2707@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. PRABHAKAR SINGH
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Statistics/Science
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415988287
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	prabhakarsingh06725@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Ms. Pragya Ojha
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Hindi
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	7909604154
E-mail ID:	Pragyojh1996@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Pramod kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	B.Ed
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9935784201
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	pramodbhu79@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Pratima Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Zoology
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9473654675
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	singhpratima3115@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Subodh Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7905671825
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	bodhs14 @gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Jagadish Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Economics/Arts
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9935615143
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	drjagdishsingh.23@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Pankaj Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Political Science , Humanities
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415256740
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	pksingh1155@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Ragni Srivastava
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Ancient History
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9450540989
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	raginisri14@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Rahul Ranjan
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9868562089
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	rahuljain.ranjan@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Rajendra Prasad
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Teacher Education (B.Ed)
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9452839424
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	educationraj2020@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Rajpal
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8924850995
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	rpal11791@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Rakesh Mani Mishra
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry/Science
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9450113715
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	rakeshmanimisra@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Ramesh Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Law
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8604250204
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	hrameshkmr@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Ranjana Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9452007244
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	singhranjana1155@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. RAUSHAN KUMAR JAISAWAL
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Department of Statistics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9889272702
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	raushanjaisawal11@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

**Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23**  
**Individual Responses**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. RAVIKANT
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Sanskrit
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8960237724
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	srisriravi108@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Ravish Kumar
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Department of Journalism and Mass Communication
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8799331844
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	ravishkumarvns@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Richa Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Hindi
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9918616961
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	drrichasingh74@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. SADANAND YADAV
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	GEOGRAPHY
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9839408289
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	snjigar71@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Sanjay Kumar Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Physical Education
<b>Designation:</b>	Associate Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7007246117
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	singhsanjaysports@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. Sanjay Srivastava
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Botany
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415635846
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sanjaychandravns@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Saransh lohiya
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Mathematics
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	7897610090
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	lohiyasaransh@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Sarvatej Kumar Maurya
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Chemistry
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8299153596
E-mail ID:	sarvatejmaurya234@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Shankar Ram
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9450231266
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	sramaya125@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Ms. SHEPHALI PAL
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Department of Teacher Education(B.Ed.)
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8840412709
E-mail ID:	Shephalipal29@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Mr. Shri Prakash Gupta
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Department of Physics, Faculty of Science
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9792003576
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	spg100677@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23 Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Shubhra Singh
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Chemistry
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9451268718
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	drshubhra2@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23 Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Sumit Kumar
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Statistics/ Science
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8604413471
E-mail ID:	sumitkumar130586@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Surya Pratap
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Physics
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	7398299415
E-mail ID:	spjam556@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Dr. UMESH KUMAR RAI
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Self-Finance
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Political Science
<b>Designation:</b>	Assistant Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415447787
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	umesh.ur31@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. VIJAY KUMAR PANDEY
Nature of Job:	Self-Finance
Department/Faculty:	MBA
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9598974990
E-mail ID:	vijay450@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. VISHWANATH
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Art
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	9415990082
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	drvn.verma@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good

## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Vivek yadav
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Law
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9044453701
E-mail ID:	vivekyadav1031@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good



## Teacher's Feedback Form 2022-23 Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
<b>Teacher's Name:</b>	Prof. Sangita Srivastava
<b>Nature of Job:</b>	Permanent
<b>Department/Faculty:</b>	Mathematics/Science
<b>Designation:</b>	Professor
<b>Mobile Number:</b>	8318664728
<b>E-mail ID:</b>	s.srivastava067@gmail.com

<b>Responses:</b>		
<b>01.</b>	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
<b>02.</b>	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
<b>03.</b>	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
<b>04.</b>	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
<b>05.</b>	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
<b>06.</b>	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
<b>07.</b>	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
<b>08.</b>	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
<b>09.</b>	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
<b>10.</b>	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Dr Pradip Narayan Dongre
E-mail ID:	pradipdongre1965@gmail.com
Course studied:	B.Sc.
Faculty:	Science
Passing Year:	1984
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	SSSVS GOVT P G COLLEGE, Chunar
Designation:	Principal
Joining Year:	2023

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Very good
Fee Structure	Very good
Environment	Very good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
Facility	Very good
Quality of support material	Very good
Training & Placement	Good
Library	Good
Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestions (if any):

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Omprakash singh
E-mail ID:	Opsingh.hcpg@gmail.com
Course studied:	M.Com.
Faculty:	Commerce
Passing Year:	1980
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	Retired
Designation:	Associate professor/acting principal
Joining Year:	1982

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Good
Fee Structure	Very good
Environment	Very good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
Facility	Good
Quality of support material	Very good
Training & Placement	Good
Library	Very good
Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestions (if any):
Upgradation of infrastructure to serve forthcoming requirements in implementation of new education policy

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Abhinav Kumar
E-mail ID:	abhinavmarshal@gmail.com
Course studied:	M.Sc.
Faculty:	Science
Passing Year:	2002
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	University of Lucknow
Designation:	Professor
Joining Year:	2009

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Very good
Fee Structure	Good
Environment	Very good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
Facility	Very good
Quality of support material	Very good
Training & Placement	Good
Library	Good
Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestions (if any):

**Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23**  
**Individual Responses**

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Amit kumar
E-mail ID:	amitkumaresapur@gmail.com
Course studied:	PhD
Faculty:	Arts
Passing Year:	2018
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	HCPG College varanasi
Designation:	Assistant professor, Hindi
Joining Year:	2022

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Very good
Fee Structure	Good
Environment	Very good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
Facility	Very good
Quality of support material	Good
Training & Placement	Good
Library	Good
Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestions (if any):
महाविद्यालय निरंतर प्रगति कर रहा है।

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Prof. Sanjay Srivastava
E-mail ID:	sanjaychandravns@gmail.com
Course studied:	PhD
Faculty:	Science
Passing Year:	2006
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	Harish Chandra P.G.College Varanasi
Designation:	Professor
Joining Year:	1999

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Good
Fee Structure	Very good
Environment	Fair
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Fair
Facility	Good
Quality of support material	Fair
Training & Placement	Fair
Library	Good
Overall rating of the college	Good

<b>Suggestions (if any):</b>
Botanical garden and extra covered space for research activities need to be developed.

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Kanak lata Vishwakarma
E-mail ID:	Kanaklatav1969@gmail.com
Course studied:	PhD
Faculty:	Education
Passing Year:	2002
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	H C P G COLLEGE VARANASI
Designation:	Professor
Joining Year:	2005

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Very good
Fee Structure	Very good
Environment	Good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Satisfactory
Facility	Good
Quality of support material	Good
Training & Placement	Very good
Library	Very good
Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestions (if any):

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Dr Brajesh pathak
E-mail ID:	brajeshpathak2010@gmail.com
Course studied:	B.Sc.
Faculty:	Science
Passing Year:	1994
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	Harish chandr post graduate college
Designation:	Assistant professor
Joining Year:	2003

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Very good
Fee Structure	Very good
Environment	Very good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
Facility	Very good
Quality of support material	Very good
Training & Placement	Good
Library	Good
Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestions (if any):
Everything is fine



## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Dr Pratima Singh
E-mail ID:	singhpratima3115@gmail.com
Course studied:	PhD
Faculty:	Science
Passing Year:	2008
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	HCPG College, Vns
Designation:	Assistant professor
Joining Year:	2012

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Good
Fee Structure	Good
Environment	Good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
Facility	Good
Quality of support material	Good
Training & Placement	Fair
Library	Good
Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestions (if any):

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Ravi Prakash
E-mail ID:	jpravil1969@gmail.com
Course studied:	LL.B.
Faculty:	Commerce
Passing Year:	1995
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	A School of Gurukul
Designation:	Director
Joining Year:	2003

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Good
Fee Structure	Good
Environment	Good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
Facility	Very good
Quality of support material	Good
Training & Placement	Satisfactory
Library	Good
Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestions (if any):

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Alka (Kashyap) Kumar
E-mail ID:	alkakumar95@gmail.com
Course studied:	B.Ed.
Faculty:	Education
Passing Year:	1995
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	USAA
Designation:	Teacher
Joining Year:	2000

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Very good
Fee Structure	Very good
Environment	Very good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
Facility	Very good
Quality of support material	Very good
Training & Placement	Very good
Library	Very good
Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestions (if any):

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Dileep kumar
E-mail ID:	dkumarmgs5@gmail.com
Course studied:	M.Sc.
Faculty:	Science
Passing Year:	2010
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	SATHI BHU Varanasi
Designation:	Laboratory Attendant
Joining Year:	2021

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Good
Fee Structure	Good
Environment	Good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
Facility	Good
Quality of support material	Good
Training & Placement	Good
Library	Very good
Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestions (if any):
No

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Dr. Arun Kant
E-mail ID:	arun107941@kmc.du.ac.in
Course studied:	M.Sc.
Faculty:	Science
Passing Year:	2008
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	Kirori Mal College, University of Delhi
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Joining Year:	2018

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Very good
Fee Structure	Very good
Environment	Good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
Facility	Good
Quality of support material	Very good
Training & Placement	Fair
Library	Satisfactory
Overall rating of the college	Fair

<b>Suggestions (if any):</b>
To improve the infrastructure and Book facility in library for to B.A./B.Sc. as well as M.A./M.Sc. Student.

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	DR PRABHAKAR SINGH
E-mail ID:	prabhakarsingh06725@gmail.com
Course studied:	M.Sc.
Faculty:	Science
Passing Year:	1986
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	Harish Chandra P G College Varanasi
Designation:	Professor
Joining Year:	1987

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Very good
Fee Structure	Very good
Environment	Good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
Facility	Very good
Quality of support material	Good
Training & Placement	Good
Library	Good
Overall rating of the college	Good

<b>Suggestions (if any):</b>
There is need to connect more and more alumni to the college and persuade them to contribute in terms of money as well as valuable suggestions for the development and modernization of the college..

## Alumni Feedback Form 2022-23

### Individual Responses

<b>Respondent Details:</b>	
Name of Alumnus:	Dr Geeta rani
E-mail ID:	Rsggeeta14@gmail.com
Course studied:	B.Sc.
Faculty:	Science
Passing Year:	1992
<b>Current Employment/Profession:</b>	
Name of organisation working with:	Hcpg college Varanasi up
Designation:	Assistant professor
Joining Year:	2003

<b>Ratings:</b>	
Admission Procedure	Very good
Fee Structure	Very good
Environment	Good
Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
Facility	Very good
Quality of support material	Very good
Training & Placement	Good
Library	Good
Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestions (if any):
Multimedia projectors required in classroom



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2022-2023~~

Name: Divyami Jaiswal

Course: MSc Chemistry

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (2<sup>nd</sup>) I / II / III / IV (2022-2023)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

$\frac{22-37}{24}$

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Divyami Jaiswal

73796550





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Diksha Gupta

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Diksha Gupta

9628210930



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Saumya Gupta

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (IV<sup>th</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance in real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Saumya Gupta  
 6392528396





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Diksha Tiwari

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Msc Chemistry

Year/Semester: (II<sup>nd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(9)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(9)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(4)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(4)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(6)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(4)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Diksha Tiwari  
7080322362



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Rajmohan Kumar Course: B.Sc.  
Department: CHEMISTRY/Science Year/Semester: (2022) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra-curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Rabiya

Course: BSc II<sup>nd</sup> Semester (PHC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(4)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(4)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(4)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(4)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(4)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Rabiya



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022/2023

Name: Atika Hasnain Course: B.Sc(II) sem (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Atika Hasnain





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Shwasti Patel Course: B.Sc IT sem (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 2 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Shwasti



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Anshul Gupta Course: B.S.C 2<sup>th</sup> Sem (P.M.C)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I (II/III/IV)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities					
7.	Recreational facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Anshul Gupta





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23.

Name: Pragya Verma Course: B.Sc. II<sup>nd</sup> semester (BMC)

Department: Chemistry (Sem II) Year/Semester: (---II---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Pragya



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year <sup>2022-23</sup>

Name: Anjali Yadav Course: B-Sc II Sem (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (I) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(4)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(4)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(4)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(4)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Anjali Yadav





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Shweta Prajapati Course: BSC II<sup>nd</sup> Sem (PMC)

Department: Sci Chemistry (Sci) Year Semester: (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Shweta Prajapati



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: SHANYA CHAURASIYA Course: BSc-2<sup>nd</sup> Sem (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	2
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3.	Depth of the course content	2
4.	Extent of coverage of course	2
5.	Extent of effort required by students	2
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7.	Overall rating	2

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓	✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Shanya Chaurasiya





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23.

Name: Raxhmi Singh Course: B.Sc. 2nd sem (AME)

Department: chemistry (science) Year/Semester: (II<sup>nd</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra-curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(4)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(4)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(4)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Raxhmi Singh



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Ankita Maurya Course: B.Sc. II Sem (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (-II-) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Ankita Maurya

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Ankita Maurya





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Neha Rajapali Course: BSc II semester (PM)

Department: chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Neha Rajapali



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Pooja Yadav Course: B.Sc II sem (PMC)  
Department: Chemistry (Scienc) Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration					
5.	Library facilities		✓✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓	✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Pooja Yadav





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Anchal Sonkar

Course: B.Sc II Sem (PMC)

Department: (Science)  
Chair

Year/Semester: (II<sup>nd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (In terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Anchal Sonkar



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Tanya Saroj Course: B.Sc II<sup>nd</sup> Sem (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (-II-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	∞
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	∞
3.	Depth of the course content	∞
4.	Extent of coverage of course	∞
5.	Extent of effort required by students	∞
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	∞
7.	Overall rating	∞

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Tanya Saroj





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Toupti Mousya Course: B.Sc II Semester (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II-)/I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(3)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Toupti Mousya



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Shivam

Course: B.Sc. II Sem (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Sci) Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Shivam





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Rishi Shah Course: B.Sc II<sup>nd</sup> Sem. (P.M.C.)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (-II-) I/II/ III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Rishi Shah



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Gyandeep

Course: B.Sc. 2<sup>nd</sup> sem (P.M.C)

Department: Chemistry (Sci. III) Year/Semester: (I-2) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 7

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>6.6</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>6</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>7</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>8</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>8</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>9</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>6</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

*Gyandeep*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Brajlal Yadav Course: B.Sc II Sem (PCM)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (2-) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	B
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	B
3.	Depth of the course content	B
4.	Extent of coverage of course	B
5.	Extent of effort required by students	B
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	B
7.	Overall rating	B

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021-23

Name: Md. Horafiq

Course: BSc (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Md. Horafiq





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Panitesh Sharma

Course: B.5C II Sem (PMC)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (---) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(9)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Panitesh Sharma



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Ravi Rai

Course: B.Sc (D.S.M) (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9 8 7 6 5 4 3
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Ravi Rai





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Vivek Chauhan Course: B.Sc II<sup>nd</sup> Semester (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (---) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Saurabh Vishwakarma Course: B.Sc (PMC)

Department: (PMC) Chemistry Year/Semester: (-II-) I/II/III/IV  
Science

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities					
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓	✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

*Saurabh Vishwakarma*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Ghazala Parveen Course: BSc (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Ghazala Parveen



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Najiya Bano Course: B.Sc. II Sem (P.M.C)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(3)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty				✓	
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities				✓	
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Najiya Bano





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 22-23

Name: Shweta Chaurasia Course: B.Sc II<sup>nd</sup> Sem. (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (2022) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Shweta



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Payal Vishwakarma Course: B.Sc (II<sup>nd</sup> sem.) (PHE)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Highly Satisfactory
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

पायल विश्वकर्मा





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Upasana Course: BSc II<sup>nd</sup> Sem. (PMC)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Upasana*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Nikesh Pandey Course: B.Sc II<sup>nd</sup> semester (Pmc)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (~~II~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Nikesh Pandey





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Anita Yadav

Course: BSC

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021-22

Name: Ankit Pal

Course: B.Sc. II<sup>nd</sup> Sem (Pmc)

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Ankit Pal





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Neha Kumari

Course: B.Sc

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022/2023

Name: Neha Kumari Singh Course: B.Sc.

Department: Zoology Year/Semester: (B.Sc.) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>Very good</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>(8)</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>8</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>7</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>8</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	<u>9</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>9</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	<u>(2)</u>
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	<u>(2)</u>
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	<u>(1)</u>
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	<u>(2)</u>
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	<u>(1)</u>
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	<u>(3)</u>
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	<u>(1)</u>
-------------	----------	-------------	------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	<u>(4)</u>
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	<u>(1)</u>
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	<u>(2)</u>
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	<u>(3)</u>
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	<u>(1)</u>
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	<u>(1)</u>
--------	---------------	-------	------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	<u>(1)</u>
------------	------------------	------------------	------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities					
7.	Recreational facilities		✓		✓	
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Maiti Jaisankar

Course: B.Sc

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (~~II~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(N)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2022-2023~~ 2023

Name: Kajal Kumari Chaudhary Course: BSc

Department: Zoology Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>9</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>7</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>8</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	<u>9</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>8</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>4</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>3</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>3</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>4</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>3</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>4</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>3</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Khushi Gupta

Course: B.Sc

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (4<sup>th</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course in the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓	✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Anchal Yadav Course: B.Sc  
 Department: Zoology Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(4)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Nicky Vishwakarma Course: B.Sc.  
 Department: Zoology Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl.	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Priya Yadav

Course: B.Sc.

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓		✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022/2023

Name: Shikha Kumari Patel Course: BSc

Department: Zoology Year/Semester: (II)-I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>7</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>6</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>8</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>7</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>8</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	<u>7</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>8</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	<u>(1)</u>
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	<u>(1)</u>
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	<u>(1)</u>
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	<u>(1)</u>
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	<u>(2)</u>
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	<u>(2)</u>
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	<u>(2)</u>
-------------	----------	-------------	------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	<u>(3)</u>
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	<u>(2)</u>
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	<u>(1)</u>
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	<u>(1)</u>
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	<u>(2)</u>
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	<u>(1)</u>
--------	---------------	-------	------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	<u>(1)</u>
------------	------------------	------------------	------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-24

Name: Noman Akhtar

Course: B.Sc. (B.Z.C.)

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		/			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			/		
3.	Interaction with faculty			/		
4.	Interaction with administration		/			
5.	Library facilities				/	
6.	Computer facilities				/	
7.	Recreational facilities		/			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			/		
9.	Sports facilities			/		





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Vidushi Gupta

Course: BSc (Bio)

Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Kavitasankar*

Course: *B.A*

Department: *Psychology*

Year/Semester: (-----) *I/II/III/IV*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(3)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Pintu Gupta*

Course: *B.A*

Department: *Arts Psychology*

Year/Semester: *(I-)* I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	3
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Khushboo Jaiswal Course: B.A. (Art)  
 Department: Psychology Year/Semester: (II<sup>nd</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(9)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✗			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓	✓			



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Sonalika Sankar

Course: B.A (Art)

Department: Psychology

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>8</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>8</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>8</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>8</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>8</u>

### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓	✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Saumya Pandey

Course: B.A.

Department: Psychology

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(4)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(4)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(4)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(3)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl.	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Gopal Krishna

Course: Psychology

Department: Arts

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(5)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with Faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year I

Name: Abhinava Sharma

Course: Psychology

Department: PGT

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Mobashshara Khanam Course: Psychology

Department: Arts Year/Semester: (II) I / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	<u>Very Good</u>	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>8</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>9</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>8</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>9</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	<u>8</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>8</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefitting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>4</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. <u>75 to 90%</u>	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	---------------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. <u>Excellent</u>	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>4</u> )
---------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	----------------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	----------------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. <u>Equal</u>	3. Inferior	( <u>2</u> )
-------------	-----------------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. <u>Satisfactory</u>	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>3</u> )
--------------	---------	------------------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. <u>Helpful</u>	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	-------------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. <u>Sometimes</u>	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>3</u> )
---------------	----------	---------------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. <u>Co-Operative</u>	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
------------------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. <u>Yes</u>	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. <u>Proudly</u>	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
-------------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		<u>✓</u>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<u>✓</u>			
3.	Interaction with faculty			<u>✓</u>		
4.	Interaction with administration			<u>✓</u>		
5.	Library facilities		<u>✓</u>			
6.	Computer facilities		<u>✓</u>			
7.	Recreational facilities		<u>✓</u>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<u>✓</u>			
9.	Sports facilities		<u>✓</u>			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Radhika Kumari

Course: B.A. ~~Eng~~ Art

Department: Psychology

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	2
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓✓✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓✓✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Anjali Senkar Course: B.A (Arts)  
 Department: Psychology Year/Semester: (II-) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Sanjota Kumari*

Course: *राजकी लॉजी*

Department: *8*

Year/Semester: (*II*) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <i>1</i> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <i>2</i> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <i>2</i> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>2</i> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <i>2</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <i>2</i> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <i>2</i> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <i>2</i> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <i>1</i> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <i>1</i> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <i>1</i> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Narain zainwal

Course: B.A

Department: Psychology

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely <u>Good</u>	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	2
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with Faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 23/24

Name: Vaishnavi Agarwal

Course: B.A.

Department: Psychology

Year/Semester: 23/24 I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 23-24

Name: Mansi Karmacharya

Course: B.A

Department: Psychology

Year/Semester: (IV)-I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 23-24

Name: Akanksha Goid

Course: B.A.

Department: Psychology

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✗	✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓	✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓	✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-2024

Name: Abhay Gupta

Course: B.A

Department: Psychology

Year/Semester: (IX) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( / )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( / )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( / )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( / )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( / )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( / )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( / )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( / )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( / )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( / )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( / )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( / )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( / )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities			✓		





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023

Name: Dilaha Kumari

Course: BSc. Bio

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (2) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Bhavana

Course: B. Sc. (BZC)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (~~III~~) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities				✓	

*Bhavana*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-24

Name: Pritya Babu Course: B.Sc.  
Department: Science (B2C) Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Smriti Maurya

Course: B.Sc.

Department: Zoology (Science)

Year/Semester: (IV)/I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓		✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Smriti Maurya*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Riya Singh

Course: BZC

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (IV)-I/II/III/IV ✓

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Riya Singh



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023

Name: Nikita Shukla

Course: B.Sc (BZC)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (-IV-) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓	✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023

Name: Disha Anand

Course: B.Sc

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	above 7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓	✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Janhavi Vishwakarma Course: BSc. 2nd year

Department: Zoology Year/Semester: (2-) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Janhavi*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Muskan Jaiswal

Course: BSC. 2<sup>nd</sup> year

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (---2---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Muskan Jaiswal



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Exita Shrivastava

Course: B.T.C

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (~~IX~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3) A
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓	✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Minakshi Kumari

Course: BSc.

Department: Science

Year/Semester: 2<sup>nd</sup> I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sr.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sr	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022

Name: Rashmi Verma

Course: B.Sc IV sem

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (—) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Shivani gend

Course: BSC

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Nidhi Pathak

Course: M.Sc.

Department: M.Sc. Botany

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(9)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

*(Signature)*





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Arpita jaiswal

Course: M.Sc

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Ag*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Ritu Sanjay

Course: B.A. Sc.

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (III)/ I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Ritu Sanjay





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Nileu Singh

Course: M.Sc.

Roll no. 40

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

NILEU SINGH



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023

Name: Khushaboo

Course: B.Sc II<sup>nd</sup> year

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Khushaboo





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Rimsha Ansari

Course: B.Sc (BZC)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (~~1~~) 1 / I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 4 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

*Rimsha*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Kajal Patel

Course: B.Sc.

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrelevant	(1)
---	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	---	---	---	---	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. 75 to 90%	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. 50 to 75%	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. 40 to 50%	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Inadequate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Very poor	(4)
---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---	---------------------------------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Very poor	(2)
---------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Very Poor	(3)
---------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Superior	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Equal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. Inferior	(3)
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Very Poor	(2)
---------------------------------------	---	--	--	---------------------------------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Very Poor	(1)
--	----------------------------------	--	--	---------------------------------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Very Helpful	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Helpful	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Indifferent	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Unhelpful	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Cumbersome	(1)
---	-------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------------	--	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Very Often	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Often	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sometimes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. Rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Never	(4)
--	-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	-----------------------------------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers in extra curricular activities?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Co-Operative	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Indifferent	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Discouraging	(1)
---	---	--	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Marginally	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. No	(2)
---------------------------------	---	--------------------------------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Proudly	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Indifferently	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Disparagingly	(9)
--	--	---	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
6.	Computer facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Recreational facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Kajal Patel





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Santoshi Sharma Course: B.Sc. (B2C) 2<sup>nd</sup> Year IV Sem

Department: Science Faculty Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report.	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(4)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(4)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Handwritten signature*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Priyanka

Course: B.S.C BZC

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (V)-I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	H
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	H
3.	Depth of the course content	H
4.	Extent of coverage of course	H
5.	Extent of effort required by students	H
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	H
7.	Overall rating	H

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Priyanka





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Sonam Kannaajiya

Course: BSc B2C

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (3<sup>rd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	4
4.	Extent of coverage of course	4
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7.	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Bhavya Chaubey Course: B.Sc (BZC) / 4th Sem

Department: Science Faculty Year/Semester: (~~II~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*R. D. Singh*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Muskan Jaiswal

Course: BSC

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (-2<sup>nd</sup>-) I / II / III / IV ✓

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	4
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 3 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Muskan Jaiswal



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Janhavi Vishwankaumari Course: B.Sc.

Department: Science Year/Semester: (~~2<sup>nd</sup>~~) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Janhavi





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2022~~

Name: Nancy Jainwal

Course: B.Sc (B.T.C)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (I/II/III/IV)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(5)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(5)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Abhinav



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Nikita Kumari Course: L.L.B.  
 Department: Faculty of Law Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of projects/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Nikita Kumari  
7499915580





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Abhijeet Singh

Course: LL-B

Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Year/Semester: (3/6) I/II/III/IV/V/VI

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Mob. No. - 9956053889

Abhijeet Singh



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Durga Kumari

Course: LL.B. VI<sup>th</sup> Semester

Department: Faculty of Law

Year/Semester: (VI<sup>th</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of projects/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

9580588343

Durga Kumari





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Sanjeev Praveen Course: LL.B  
 Department: Faculty of Law Year/Semester: (I/II) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

9044106249

Sanjeev Praveen



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Fahmeena Saba Course: LL.B  
 Department: Faculty of law Year/Semester: (III<sup>rd</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Mb. No. - 6393872483

Fahmeena Saba.





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: NEHA

Course: LL.B.

Department: FACULTY OF LAW

Year/Semester: (1<sup>st</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

9026499078  
neha.



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ----- 943606762

Name: *Salmaano* Course: *LL B<sup>1<sup>st</sup></sup> Semester*  
 Department: *Faculty of Law* Year/Semester: *(I/II/III/IV)*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good ✓	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	9
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	---

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	9
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	---

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	9
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	---

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	9
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	---

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	9
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	---

Mobile no: 943606762  
 Email: Shaina 4243@gmail.com

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	2
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	---

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	4. Very Poor	2
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------	---

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	2
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	---

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	2
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	---

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	2
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	---

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	2
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	---

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	4. Very Poor	1
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	---

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	4. Very Poor	3
--------	---------------	-------	--------------	---

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	4. Very Poor	3
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------	---

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-24

Name: Divya Chokhawa Course: LL.B  
 Department: LAW Department Year/Semester: (IV) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Mobile No:- 6306958956

Email Id :- Chodivya1121@gmail.com

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(9)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓		✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-

Name: MD ALI

Course: LL.B.

Department: LAW department

Year/Semester: (IV) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





Mob. No. → 8948505006  
 Email id → saurabh466167@gmail

## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Saurabh Singh Course: LL.B  
 Department: Law Year/Semester: (2) I / II / III (IV)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year <sup>2022-23</sup>

Name: Deepthi Yadav Course: LL.B  
Department: Law Year/Semester: (-IV-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities				✓	
9.	Sports facilities				✓	

9838866015  
deepthyadav061998@gmail.com





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year

Name: Sahiba

Course: LL.B

Department: Faculty of Law

Year/Semester: (iv) I / II / III / IV

*Shahiba@green4@gmail.com*

*734843778*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(3)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(3)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities					✓
8.	Extra-curricular activities					✓
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Aayush Jaiswal*

Course: L.L.B

Department: LAW

Year/Semester: (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Mediocre	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(9)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Email - id : *ayushjaiswal0@gmail*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023

Name: Kishan Kumar Singh

Course: LL-B.

Department: Law Department

Year/Semester: (-----) I / II / III / IV

Year-2, Semester-4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9.
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9.
3.	Depth of the course content	9.
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9.
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9.
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9.
7.	Overall rating	9.

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2.)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1.)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1.)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1.)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1.)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1.)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1.)
-------------	----------	-------------	------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1.)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1.)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1.)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1.)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1.)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1.)
--------	---------------	-------	------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1.)
------------	------------------	------------------	------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

MO. NO. 6388703610

Email Id: Kishan Kumar 27111996@gmail.com



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Kriti Singh Course: L.H.B  
 Department: Faculty of Law Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities				✓	

Kriti Singh  
 Kriti Singh 157999@gmail.com  
 7652073476





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Slambhavi

Course: I.L.B

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



### HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023

Name: Anamika Mishra Course: M.A.  
Department: Psychology Year/Semester: (-12-) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

*Anamika Mishra*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Shakiba Bano

Course: B.A. M.A.

Department: Psychology

Year/Semester: (2<sup>nd</sup>)-I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Shakiba Bano



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021-23

Name: Jaya Dixit (6388096782) Course: B. Ed.

Department: Education Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Jaya





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2021-22~~ 23

Name: Aashutosh Kumar Course: B.Ed.  
B933035974  
Department: B.Ed. Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 4 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 4 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 4 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 3 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Aashutosh Kumar



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Srimanya Agrawal*  
*8318788591*

Course: *B. Ed*

Department: *B. Ed*

Year/Semester: (*B. Ed*) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(4)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative officers?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra-curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(4)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(3)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(4)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

*Srimanya G.*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 21-22

Name: Vishakha Verma  
4355315213

Course: B Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (III)/ I / II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(8)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Vishakha Verma



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 21-23

Name: Rahul Bhasadkushwaha Course: B.Ed  
 7877954875  
 Department: B.Ed Year/Semester: (21) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Rahul





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 21-23

Name: Sonu Sharma

Course: B. Ed.

9936562001

Department: B. Ed.

Year/Semester: (III<sup>rd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	4
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	2
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	4
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(5)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Vishal Singh* Course: *B.ed*  
 Department: *education* Year/Semester: *(-/-) I/II/III/IV*  
*8808308107*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>9</i>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>9</i>
3.	Depth of the course content	<i>9</i>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<i>9</i>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<i>9</i>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>9</i>
7.	Overall rating	<i>9</i>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <i>2</i> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <i>2</i> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <i>2</i> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>2</i> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>2</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <i>2</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <i>2</i> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <i>1</i> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <i>2</i> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <i>1</i> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <i>1</i> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <i>1</i> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

*Vishal Singh*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021-22

Name: Deepak Kumar

Course: B.Ed

Department: Education Department Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

Mob: 8112304112

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

दीपक कुमार



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2022~~ - 23

Name: SUNIL KUMAR YADAV Course: B. Ed

Department: B. Ed Year/Semester: (~~III~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

7800410645

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 3 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

*Sunil*





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021-23

Name: Rohit Kumar Yadav Course: B. Ed  
8839242531  
Department: B. Ed Year/Semester: (~~2021~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Slightly Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	05
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	05
3.	Depth of the course content	05
4.	Extent of coverage of course	05
5.	Extent of effort required by students	05
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	05
7.	Overall rating	05

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 9 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 9 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021-22

Name: Nitya Kumar

Course: B.Ed. (9044229460)

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of projects/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-24

Name: km. Shvetapasi

Course: B.ed - II sem

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (---) I/II/III/IV 831877826

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	10
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Arishvati Kumari Pandey Course: B.Ed 2nd Se

Department: B. Ed.

Year/Semester: (I...) I / II / III / IV  
453423855

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(4)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓	✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Mahamitranjay Ra\* Course: B.Ed.

Department: B.Ed. Year/Semester: (-----) V / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

7078865730

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Manish Kumar Yadav Course: B.Ed.

Department: B.Ed. Year/Semester: (~~I~~) I / II / III / IV

7521964173

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance in real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(7)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(7)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(7)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(7)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(7)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(7)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl.	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities					✓





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: SHEKHAR SRIVASTAVA

Course: B.E.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

Roll No.: 2506035618

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities				✓	
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: GAURAV YADAV

Course: B. Ed

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

MOB. No. 8738955892

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Chakravarthy Prakash Course: B Ed.

Department: Education Year/Semester: (-----) I/II/III/IV  
[995009172]

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Priya Singh Course: B.Ed Roll No: 9021706992  
 Department: Education Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Sonali Singh Course: B.ed  
 Department: Education Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV  
 Reg. No. — 8182042812

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-24

Name: Km Jyoti Patil

Course: B.ed

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (II<sup>nd</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 7613032.233

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(4)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration				✓	
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities				✓	





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Priyanshu Yadav Course: B. Ed. (8853143760)  
 Department: Department of Education and Semester: (---II---) I / II / III / IV  
 Year/Semester: (---II---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	(3)
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	(2)
3.	Depth of the course content	(2)
4.	Extent of coverage of course	(2)
5.	Extent of effort required by students	(2)
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	(2)
7.	Overall rating	(2)

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-24

Name: Megha Kumari

Course: B.Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (-II-) I/II/III/IV

9140138822

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(5)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓	✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓	✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Aryam Prajapati Course: B.A - 2<sup>nd</sup> (Sem)

Department: Physical education Year/Semester: (II) I/II III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The mutual evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Aryam Prajapati

8005443254





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Satyendra Pratap Singh Course: B.Son (B.A)

Department: Physical education Year/Semester: (-II-) I, II, III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	2
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(+)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

सत्येंद्र सिंह  
9369273671



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Vaishnavi Kushwaha* Course: *B.A. II sem*

Department: *Physical education* Year/Semester: *(II) I/II/III/IV*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>7</i>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>5</i>
3.	Depth of the course content	<i>5</i>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<i>8</i>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<i>8</i>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>6</i>
7.	Overall rating	<i>5</i>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <i>2</i> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <i>1</i> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <i>1</i> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>4</i> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <i>2</i> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <i>2</i> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <i>1</i> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <i>2</i> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <i>2</i> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <i>1</i> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <i>2</i> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <i>1</i> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	Computer facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

*Vaishnavi*  
*8303227485*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Abhishek Mishra

Course: B.A

Department: Physical Education

Year/Semester: (2<sup>nd</sup>) I / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Abhishek Mishra

Mob. no. → 7309686206





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Ayush Pal

Course: B.A 2nd Sem

Department: Physical Education

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	10
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Ayush Pal

Phone no. → 8318090630



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: दीपाक्षी - गौरसिमा Course: एम. ए.  
Department: हिन्दी Year/Semester: (2) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

नाम = दीपाक्षी - गौरसिमा  
कक्षा = एम. ए. II हिन्दी  
मो. नं. = 8317081337





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: SUNIL KUMAR

Course: M.A.

Department: HINDI

Year/Semester: (~~IX~~) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>7</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>6</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>7</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>6</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>7</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>6</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>7</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>4</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

NAME: SUNIL KUMAR

MOB: 9129329353





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: कुन्देया मिश्रा Course: राम राम (हिन्दी)

Department: भाषा सौकम (कला) Year/Semester: (-III-) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Good
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Good
3.	Depth of the course content	Very Good
4.	Extent of coverage of course	Very Good
5.	Extent of effort required by students	Good
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Very Good
7.	Overall rating	Very Good

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(9)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(9)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(4)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

मो न- 9367756685

कुन्देया मिश्रा



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Mehraj Begum (मैहनाज बेगम) Course: एम.ए. (हिन्दी)

Department: भाषा संकाय Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	6
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓	✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

मैहनाज बेगम  
6394459759





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Dipika Kumari

Course: B.A

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (IV) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓	✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓	✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓	✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Dipika Kumari

8736864042





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Mahaveer Yadav

Course: B.A

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(5)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(4)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(3)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

महावीर यादव

मो - 9119607289



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Mohit Kumar Seth

Course: B.A

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (B.A.) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

मोहित कुमार सिंह  
8990676318





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Nityanand Dev Pandey Course: B.A. P.S.M.

Department: Hindi Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name: Nityanand Dev Pandey  
Mo. No: 8052577103

Mo. No - 8052577103





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Anjana Vishwakarma Course: B.A. II<sup>sem</sup>  
Department: Hindi Year/Semester: (2<sup>nd</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	3
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Name:- Anjana Vishwakarma  
Mobile:- 9369663459



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Ankit Yadav

Course: B.A. - II Sem

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (2023) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(5)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

No. A6: 6387096185

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Ankit Yadav

No. N: 6387096185





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Ankit Brajapati

Course: B.A (Ind)

Department: Archeology

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Very 8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Name → Ankit Brajapati

Class → BA 2<sup>nd</sup> year IV semester

Mo. No → 6386194123





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 22-23

Name: अभिषेक शर्मा Course: B.A. Arts  
 Department: Ancient History Year/Semester: (IV-1) I / II / III / IV  
Ancient

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>V. good</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>v. good</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>v. good</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>v. good</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>v. good</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>v. good</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>v. good</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	<u>(1)</u>
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	<u>(1)</u>
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	<u>(2)</u>
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	<u>(1)</u>
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	<u>(1)</u>
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	<u>(1)</u>
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	<u>(1)</u>
-------------	----------	-------------	------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	<u>(1)</u>
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	<u>(1)</u>
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	<u>(1)</u>
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	<u>(2)</u>
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	<u>(1)</u>
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	<u>(1)</u>
--------	---------------	-------	------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	<u>(1)</u>
------------	------------------	------------------	------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

नाम → अभिषेक शर्मा

B.A = Ancient History  
Ancient

सो 0 → 7068076220



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022/2023

Name: Nandees Bano

Course: B.A (Arts)

Department: A-History

Year/Semester: (iv) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>Very Good</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>Very Good</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>Very Good</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>Very Good</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>Very Good</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>Very Good</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>Very Good</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>1</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Name: Nandees Bano

Class: B.A (iv) semester

Subject: A-History

Date: 2022/2023

M.N. : 9398638756





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: SANDHYA BENWANSHI Course: B.A.

Department: Ancient History Year/Semester: (IV) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	4
7.	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	Very good	✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Student  
 SANDHYA BENWANSHI  
 class - B.A. IV  
 Phone no - 9311508145  
 Date - 9/05/2023  
 Subject - A.H





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 22-23

Name: Sanjana Chakrawal

Course: B.A 2nd Year

Department: Ancient History

Year/Semester: (2022) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(4)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name - Sanjana Chakrawal  
class Name - Ancient History  
Mob. No. - 7985724920



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Mamda Kumari Course: B.A (Avt)  
 Department: Ancient history Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Name -> Mamda Kumari

Class -> B.A. (Avt) 4th semester

Mob. No -> 9026777094





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Javed Akhtar Course: M.Com - 8840634931

Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Javed*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Harehit Malviya

Course: M.COM

Roll No: 295717505

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (2) I/II/III/IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Harehit



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Anjana Bind

Course: M.Com. Mat. No. 9580323830

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Anjana Bind





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2022-23~~ <sup>2023-24</sup>

Name: Swati Maurya

Course: M.Com 8858223284

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (VII) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Swati Maurya





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Anchal Mawrya Course: M.COM 6306998676

Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(8)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(9)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Anchal Mawrya



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Riya Chawasia

Course: B.Com

6393594185

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>9</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>9</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>9</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>9</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	<u>9</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>9</u>

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. <u>Adequate</u>	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
----------------	--------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. <u>Relevant</u>	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. <u>Adequate</u>	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	--------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>2</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Riya Chawasia  
6393594185





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Himanshu Singh

Course: B. Com

730728 2090

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant.	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	----------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Himanshu Singh





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Anshika Gupta

Course: B.COM

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (IV) I/II/III/IV

8112367602

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓	✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Anshika Gupta



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ----- Phone No →

Name: Smriti Gupta Course: B Com 2<sup>nd</sup> Year Phone No: 930567094

Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (~~IV~~) I / II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Smriti Gupta





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Aashika Kumari* Course: *B.Com* *6386797091*  
 Department: *Commerce* Year/Semester: (*IV*) I / II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Vibha maurya

Course: B.Com II<sup>nd</sup> year 4<sup>th</sup> semester

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (-----) I / II / III / IV

mob- 8765186188

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>3</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>9</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>9</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>8</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	<u>9</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>9</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>2</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>2</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>2</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>2</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>2</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Vibha maurya



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Ranjna Chaurasia Course: B.Com mobile no: 8960213308  
 Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (2<sup>nd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Ranjna Chaurasia

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Piyush Chauhan

Course: B-Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I.V.) I / II / III / IV 6387558904

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Piyush Chauhan*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-24

Name: Jagriti Kumari

Course: B.Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (VII) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of projects/report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Mob No - 9452545668

Jagriti Kumari



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Ritika Mishra

Course: BCOM

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 4 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 2 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 2 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Ritika

8840703545





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: B. P. Chaudhary

Course: B.Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓	✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓	✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓	✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

B. P. Chaudhary

7607179752





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Mahal Anamasi Anshu Course: B.Com - 7081188352  
 Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	A
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	B
3.	Depth of the course content	B
4.	Extent of coverage of course	A
5.	Extent of effort required by students	C
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	A
7.	Overall rating	A

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Anamasi



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: QUASIM JAMAL Course: B.Com 8959256207

Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (I) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra-curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Quasim Jamal*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Aarti Gaud

Course: B.Com.

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( / )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( / )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( / )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( / )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( / )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( / )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( / )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( / )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( / )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( / )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( / )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( / )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

No. - 8887718267

Aarti Gaud





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 20

Name: Poojanka Yadav

Course: B. Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (2) I / II / III / IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>9</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>9</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>9</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	<u>8</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>9</u>

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( <u>1</u> )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>5</u> )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( <u>1</u> )
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( <u>5</u> )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( <u>4</u> )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( <u>1</u> )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( <u>1</u> )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( <u>3</u> )
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( <u>1</u> )
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

8303828322

Poojanka



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Riya Verma

Course: B.COM

Department: B.COM (Commerce)

Year/Semester: I<sup>st</sup> (I) (II) III / IV Mob: 635211101

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Riya Verma*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Zainabul Ferdous

Course: B.Com

Mobile - 93630841

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (1<sup>st</sup>) (II/III/IV)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Fair	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	3
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	3
5.	Extent of effort required by students	3
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	4
7.	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Zainabul Ferdous





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Shreya Yadav  
Roll No. 10622870156

Course: B.Sc - B2C

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (---IV---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sr.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sr	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Shreya



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2020-21

Name: Ritika Gupta

Course: BSc

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(8)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(0)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Ritika Gupta





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Radhika Mowrya

Course: B.Sc (BSC)

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (2<sup>nd</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	B
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	F
3.	Depth of the course content	B
4.	Extent of coverage of course	F
5.	Extent of effort required by students	F
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	F
7.	Overall rating	B

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(4)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

*Radhika Mowrya*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Riya Singh

Course: B.Z.C.

Department: Science

Year/Semester: (~~IX~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

*Riya Singh*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-2024

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Course: \_\_\_\_\_  
Department: \_\_\_\_\_ Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Rajeev Babar

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interactions with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓	✓	
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities			✓		





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Tushar Shaw

Course: BSc PMC

Department: Chemistry (Science) Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	10
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	/				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		/			
3.	Interaction with faculty			/		
4.	Interaction with administration	/				
5.	Library facilities		/			
6.	Computer facilities			/		
7.	Recreational facilities		/			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	/				
9.	Sports facilities	/				

Tushar Shaw  
10622870506





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Roll No. 02

Name: Garima Agrahari Course: Botany  
Department: Botany Year/Semester: (M.Sc) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative.	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
------------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Garima Agrahari



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2022~~-2023

Name: Aman Seth

Course: Bsc

Roll no. 195

Department: Botany (Sec.)

Year/Semester: (A) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

A.S.H.

A.S.H.





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year <sup>2021</sup> 22/23

Name: Anam Bano

Course: B.Sc

Roll no: 11  
Department: Botany (3c)

Year/Semester: (---) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(3)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Anam

Anam Bano





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Shreya Grand

Course: B.Sc

Roll No: 152

Department: BOTANY (SCIENCE)

Year/Semester: (II-) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra-curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Shreya

Shreya Grand



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Smritika Chauhan

Course: B.Sc-II

Roll No: 156

Department: Botany/Science

Year/Semester: (---) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓	✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Signature  
(Sign)





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Admit Kumar Course: BSc II  
Department: Roll No-109 Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV  
Botany Science

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Admit





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Ashwini Jaiswal

Course: BSc (Bio) 188

Department: BSc (Science)

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7.	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*A. Jaiswal*



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Triya Kumari  
Roll no - (103)  
Department: B2C (Bio)

Course: BSc (Bio)  
Year/Semester: (-II-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

*Triya Kumari*





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Akash Jadhari

Course: B.Sc (BZC)

Roll no. → 191

Department: BZC (Science)

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

#### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

#### 2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

#### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

#### 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

#### 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

#### 8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

#### 9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

#### 11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

#### 12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

#### 13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

#### 14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

#### 15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

#### 16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Akash





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2022~~ - 2023

Name: Reshama Maurya Course: M.Sc

Department: Botany Year/Semester: (~~IV~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to- 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
----------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Reshama Maurya  
Roll no. 09



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year <sup>2022-2023</sup>

Name: Shweta Patel

Course: M.Sc.

Roll No. 5

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (IX-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(8)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Shweta Patel





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Shivani Chaurasia

Course: M. Sc.

Roll No.: 19

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Shivani  
(Signature)





### HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Anjali Sharma  
Roll no. - 13  
Department: Botany

Course: M.Sc.  
Year/Semester: (-----) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Anjali Sharma



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021-2023

Name: Sonu Sharma

Course: B.Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (~~III~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( )
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( )
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Sonu Sharma

kms0nusharma12@gmail.com





### HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Pooja Saini Course: M.Sc (Zoology)  
Department: Zoology Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: Shaila Firdous

Course: M.Sc. (Zoology)

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (IV) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022

Name: Anchal Mounya

Course: M.Sc (Zoology)

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Kumari Deepshikha Course: Msc (Zoology)  
 Department: Zoology Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Rani Gulistan Nag

Course: M.Sc. (Zoology)

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (~~I~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Jyoti Yadav

Course: M.Sc

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (2<sup>nd</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
---	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	---	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	--	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	--	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	--	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. No	(3)
--------	---------------	---	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
---	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	Computer facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year <sup>2022</sup> 2023

Name: Heiya Rani

Course: H.Sc.

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	4
4.	Extent of coverage of course	4
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7.	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(3)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Arya Pandey

Course: MSc. (Zoology)

Department: Zoology

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Gudiyu Kumari Gupta Course: M. Sc  
 Department: Zoology Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023

Name: Ashish Kumar Sharma

Course: MSc

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( )
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 2 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Ashish Kumar Sharma  
6394321785





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Abha

Course: M.sc. maths

Department: Mathematics

Year/Semester: (II)-I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8081756464

Abha

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 2 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Mobile No - 8081756464

Signature - Abha



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-2023

Name: *Shweta Prajapati* Course: *M.Sc. - Mathematics*

Department: *Mathematics* Year/Semester: *(III) I/II/III/IV*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

6386507946

*Shweta*

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(3)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities					✓
8.	Extra-curricular activities					✓
9.	Sports facilities					✓





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2002-03

Name: OM KUMAR JASCHAL Course: M.Sc.

Department: MATHEMATICS Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 4 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 2 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 2 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Mobile No: 6306656199

Sign: Om Kumar Jaschal





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Piyush Gupta Course: M.Sc.  
 Department: MATHEMATICS Year/Semester: (---III---) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9565680501

Piyush Gupta

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(4)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(4)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Sandip kumar

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Mathematics

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9651529602

Sandip kumar

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2022-23~~

Name: Abhinav Srivastav Course: M.Sc.

Department: Mathematics Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	09
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	09
3.	Depth of the course content	09
4.	Extent of coverage of course	09
5.	Extent of effort required by students	09
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	09
7.	Overall rating	09

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 1 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Mobile No:- 9336445876

Abhinav Srivastav

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 1 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 1 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 1 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021-22

Name: Priyanka Sahani Course: M.Sc Mathematics  
 Department: Mathematics Year/Semester: (---II---) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 1 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 1 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Mobile No:- 9219002502

Priyanka Sahani

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 5 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 1 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 4 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 3 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Nainy Pathak

Course: M.Sc (Maths)

Department: Mathematics

Year/Semester: (III<sup>rd</sup>) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	1
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

mobile no - 6394186798

Nainy Pathak





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 22-23

Name: Maina Chauhan

Course: Maths (M.Sc.)

Department: Mathematics

Year/Semester: (~~2<sup>nd</sup>~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Mobile - no- 6303666026

Maina Chauhan





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Anushka

Course: M.Sc

Department: Mathematica

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Mo. no - 6306734610

Anushka



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021-23

Name: Srishti Jaiswal Course: M.Sc. (Mathematics)

Department: Mathematics Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Phone no - 8934808065

Srishti Jaiswal

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Sabhya Gaurihar

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Mathematics

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Mob No:- 7380529669

Sabhya Gaurihar





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Anamika Yadav

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Mathematics

Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Mob. No:- 8840571479

Anamika Yadav



# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

## Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Priti maurya

Course: M.Sc.

Department: mathematics

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 3 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 3 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Priti maurya  
8179939912

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 2 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 4 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 1 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 1 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Pooja Gupta Course: M.Sc. Mathematics

Department: MATHEMATICS Year/Semester: (II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

No. no. 6392399526

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023

Name: Shivani Vishwakarma Course: M.Sc.

Department: Mathematics Year/Semester: (IV-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023

Name: Jagriti VermaCourse: M.Sc.Department: MathematicsYear/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Jagriti Verma

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				





## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Aditi Gupta Course: M.Sc. (Mathematics)

Department: Maths Year/Semester: (----) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of projects/report	6
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

*Aditi Gupta*

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Amreen Jahan Course: Msc. (Mathematics)  
Department: math department Year/Semester: (IV) I/II/III/IV / 2nd year

Mob no. 68860799

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Good
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Good
3.	Depth of the course content	Good
4.	Extent of coverage of course	Good
5.	Extent of effort required by students	Good
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Good
7.	Overall rating	Good

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Amreen



Phone no- 9005301239

**HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)****Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23**Name: Ratnam PatelCourse: M.Sc (Mathematics)Department: MathematicsYear/Semester: (IV) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Ratnam Patel

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





Phone no.  
932825558

## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 22-23

Name: Sandhya Kumari Course: M.Sc (Mathematics)  
Department: Maths (Department) Year/Semester: (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(5)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(3)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(3)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(3)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Sandhya Kumari





Mo No. 80813140

## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

### Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: MOHD. FAIZ ANSARI Course: M.Sc Mathematics

Department: MATH Year/Semester: (---) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

M. Faiz Ansari



Mob-7376561569

**HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)****Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 22-23**

Name: Menka Maurya Course: M.Sc (mathematics)

Department: Math department Year/Semester: (II<sup>nd</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Good
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Menka maurya.



9506759685



**HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)**

**Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023**

Name: SONU KUMAR SINGH Course: M.Sc. MATHEMATICS

Department: MATH Year/Semester: (-----) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	3
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	5
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Sonu Kr Singh

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department/College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Sonu Kr Singh





# HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Abhishek Kumar Srivastav Course: M.Sc.

Mob- 9839331857

Department: Mathematics

Year/Semester: (2<sup>nd</sup>) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	B
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	B
3.	Depth of the course content	B
4.	Extent of coverage of course	B
5.	Extent of effort required by students	B
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	B
7.	Overall rating	B

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

अभिषेक कुमार श्रीवास्तव



Phone number: 63921  
7440

## HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Shrey Meherotra Course: M.Sc Mathematics

Department: Mathematics Year/Semester: (III-) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Shrey

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			





Mob. No- 8707728237

**HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)****Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23**Name: Anvesha ChakrabortyCourse: M. Sc. MathematicsDepartment: MathematicsYear/Semester: (IX) I/ II/ III/ IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	( 2 )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	( 2 )
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	( 2 )
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 3 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	( 2 )
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	( 2 )
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	( 4 )
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	( 1 )
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	( 4 )
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	( 2 )
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl.	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Anvesha



# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: Vijay Kr. Maurya

Mobile no./ E-mail: 735568 33 85

Company Name Address: Sarthak Educational Trust,

Sijua, VNS

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		✓		
2.	Working as part of team		✓		
3.	Level self-motivation			✓	
4.	Technical knowledge and skills		✓		
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors	✓			
6.	Planning and organisational skill	✓			
7.	Punctuality & time management			✓	
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		✓		
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization	✓			

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						7			

(Signature)

# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: Ramesh Singh

Mobile no./ E-mail: 9415624988

Company Name Address: LIC

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		✓		
2.	Working as part of team	✓			
3.	Level self-motivation		✓		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills		✓		
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors	✓			
6.	Planning and organisational skill	✓			
7.	Punctuality & time management		✓		
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		.	✓	
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		✓		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
							8		

  
(Signature)



# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: Umesh Chandra Pandey

Mobile no./ E-mail: 6394323052 / hr.varanasi@naroborath.net

Company Name Address: Katesari - Ramnagar - Varanasi

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill			✓	
2.	Working as part of team	✓			
3.	Level self-motivation		✓		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills			✓	
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors	✓			
6.	Planning and organisational skill		✓		
7.	Punctuality & time management	✓			
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		✓		
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization	✓			

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
							8		

(Signature)



# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: ..... Mohd. Choudh. .....  
Mobile no./ E-mail: ..... 7985808398 .....  
Company Name Address: ..... Dr. LAL Path Lab Foundation .....

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill			✓	
2.	Working as part of team		✓		
3.	Level self-motivation	✓			
4.	Technical knowledge and skills		✓		
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		✓		
6.	Planning and organisational skill			✓	
7.	Punctuality & time management	✓			
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques	✓			
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		✓		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
							8		

Chudh  
(Signature)

# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: ..... Indrajeet Yadav .....

Mobile no./ E-mail: ..... 8840350050 .....

Company Name Address: ..... Hedgeman nagan Colony .....  
 ..... B.L.W. Mandusali Haranasi .....

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill	✓			
2.	Working as part of team		✓		
3.	Level self-motivation	✓			
4.	Technical knowledge and skills			✓	
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors	✓			
6.	Planning and organisational skill		✓		
7.	Punctuality & time management	✓			
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		✓		
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		✓		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
								9	

  
 (Signature)



# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: Shradha Singh  
Mobile no./ E-mail: 9889553922  
Company Name Address: Bajaj Allianz Life Ins. Co. Ltd.

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		✓		
2.	Working as part of team	✓			
3.	Level self-motivation		✓		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills		✓		
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors			✓	
6.	Planning and organisational skill	✓			
7.	Punctuality & time management		✓		
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		✓		
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		✓		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
							8		

(Signature)



# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: Brayesh Kumar Ray  
Mobile no./ E-mail: 8210951959  
Company Name Address: INNOVISION LIMITED

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill			✓	
2.	Working as part of team		✓		
3.	Level self-motivation			✓	
4.	Technical knowledge and skills			✓	
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		✓		
6.	Planning and organisational skill		✓		
7.	Punctuality & time management	✓			
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques			✓	
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization			✓	

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						7			

Brayesh Kumar Ray  
(Signature)

# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: ..... धनश्याम पटेल .....

Mobile no./ E-mail: ..... 9583637618 .....

Company Name Address: ..... कुरियर बिजिनेस सॉल्यूशन अहमदाबाद गुजरात .....

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		/		
2.	Working as part of team			/	
3.	Level self-motivation		/		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills			/	
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors			/	
6.	Planning and organisational skill		/		
7.	Punctuality & time management		/		
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		/		
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization			/	

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
								(9)	

Annu Saini  
(Signature)



# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: Pratik Kumar

Mobile no./ E-mail: 8578040693 @ digitalindia PVT LTD

Company Name Address: BSD Digital India PVT LTD  
Mandudh Vazanshi

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		/		
2.	Working as part of team	/			
3.	Level self-motivation		/		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills	/			
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		/		
6.	Planning and organisational skill		/		
7.	Punctuality & time management			/	
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		/		
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization			/	

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

(9)

  
(Signature)



# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: विकाराशु मार

Mobile no./ E-mail: 95191701222

Company Name Address: महेन्द्रा जीवा मेनपाकर साविस

काठपुर २२१०४०२६

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		/		
2.	Working as part of team			/	
3.	Level self-motivation			/	
4.	Technical knowledge and skills		/		
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		/		
6.	Planning and organisational skill			/	
7.	Punctuality & time management		/		
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques			/	
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		/		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
							8		

(Signature)

# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: Awadhesh Raj

Mobile no./ E-mail: 0126 8070 21


Company Name Address: Pykharaj Health Care Pvt. Ltd.

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		/		
2.	Working as part of team			/	
3.	Level self-motivation		/		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills			/	
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		/		
6.	Planning and organisational skill	/			
7.	Punctuality & time management	/			
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques			/	
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		/		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
							8		

8

  
(Signature)



# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: Quess Corp Pradeep Kumar HR

Mobile no./ E-mail: 9653860918

Company Name Address: Quess Corp P.O.D -

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		/		
2.	Working as part of team	/			
3.	Level self-motivation		/		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills	/			
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		/		
6.	Planning and organisational skill		/		
7.	Punctuality & time management			/	
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques		/		
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization			/	

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
							8		

  
(Signature)



# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: Vinay Dinkali

Mobile no./ E-mail: 7905578834

Company Name Address: Samokesh Simserke Pvt. Ltd.,  
Maddahiya charah, Vinayaka Plaza (G. floor)

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		/		
2.	Working as part of team		/		
3.	Level self-motivation			/	
4.	Technical knowledge and skills		/		
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		/		
6.	Planning and organisational skill			/	
7.	Punctuality & time management	/			
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques	/			
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		/		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
								9	

Vinay Dinkali  
(Signature)

# EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

SESSION: 2022-23



Name of the Person: ..... Saurabh Mishra .....

Mobile no./ E-mail: ..... 9839918280 / shrawan@rediffmail.com .....

Company Name Address: ..... Shrawan care Nagar 125M Road  
Sgrs near icic bank (2nd startup pur 40) .....

S. no.	Aspects of Students' Quality & Work Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
1.	Communication skill		/		
2.	Working as part of team	/			
3.	Level self-motivation		/		
4.	Technical knowledge and skills			/	
5.	Relationship with peers/seniors		/		
6.	Planning and organisational skill			/	
7.	Punctuality & time management	/			
8.	Open to new ideas & learning new techniques			/	
9.	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization		/		

On a scale of 1 to 10 how do you rate your overall satisfaction with Harish Chandra P.G. College students and the curriculum?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
								9	

  
 (Signature)