

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Pramod Ram Tripathi

Respondent Details:

Name of Alumnus:	Pramod Ram Tripathi
Faculty:	Good
Course studied:	LL.B.
Passing Year:	1987
Designation:	Advocate
Joining Year:	1988
E-mail ID:	pramodramtripathi@yahoo.co.in

Responses:

01.	Admission Procedure	Satisfactory
02.	Fee Structure	Very good
03.	Environment	Satisfactory
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Satisfactory
05.	Faculty	Good
06.	Quality of support material	Satisfactory
07.	Training & Placement	Satisfactory
08.	Library	Satisfactory
09.	Overall rating of the college	Fair

Suggestion if any:

--



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Sneha Yadav

Respondent Details:

Name of Alumnus:	Sneha Yadav
Faculty:	Very good
Course studied:	M.Sc.
Passing Year:	2022
Designation:	School
Joining Year:	2024
E-mail ID:	Snehayadava864@gmail.com

Responses:

01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Very good
03.	Environment	Very good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
05.	Faculty	Very good
06.	Quality of support material	Very good
07.	Training & Placement	Very good
08.	Library	Good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestion if any:

No



**NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.**

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr.Amit Kumar

Respondent Details:

Name of Alumnus:	Dr.Amit Kumar
Faculty:	Very good
Course studied:	PhD
Passing Year:	2024
Designation:	Assistant professor, Hindi
Joining Year:	2022
E-mail ID:	AMITKUMARESAPUR@GMAIL.COM

Responses:

01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Good
03.	Environment	Good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
05.	Faculty	Very good
06.	Quality of support material	Good
07.	Training & Placement	Good
08.	Library	Good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestion if any:

--



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Sanjay Srivastava

Respondent Details:

Name of Alumnus:	Prof. Sanjay Srivastava
Faculty:	Very good
Course studied:	PhD
Passing Year:	2006
Designation:	Professor
Joining Year:	1999
E-mail ID:	sanjaychandravns@gmail.com

Responses:

01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Very good
03.	Environment	Satisfactory
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Satisfactory
05.	Faculty	Very good
06.	Quality of support material	Good
07.	Training & Placement	Good
08.	Library	Very good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestion if any:

College needs to have a well equipped auditorium.



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Prabhakar Singh

Respondent Details:

Name of Alumnus:	Prof. Prabhakar Singh
Faculty:	Good
Course studied:	B.Sc.
Passing Year:	1995
Designation:	Professor
Joining Year:	1987
E-mail ID:	prabhakarsingh06725@gmail.com

Responses:

01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Good
03.	Environment	Good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
05.	Faculty	Good
06.	Quality of support material	Good
07.	Training & Placement	Fair
08.	Library	Good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestion if any:

--



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof Kanak Lata Vishwakarma

Respondent Details:	
Name of Alumnus:	Prof Kanak lata Vishwakarma
Faculty:	Good
Course studied:	PhD
Passing Year:	2002
Designation:	Professor
Joining Year:	2005
E-mail ID:	kanaklatav1969@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Very good
03.	Environment	Good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Fair
05.	Faculty	Good
06.	Quality of support material	Good
07.	Training & Placement	Good
08.	Library	Fair
09.	Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestion if any:



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Upendra Yadav

Respondent Details:	
Name of Alumnus:	Upendra Yadav
Faculty:	Good
Course studied:	M.Sc.
Passing Year:	2009
Designation:	Assistant Chemist
Joining Year:	2012
E-mail ID:	upn777@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	Admission Procedure	Good
02.	Fee Structure	Good
03.	Environment	Fair
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Fair
05.	Faculty	Good
06.	Quality of support material	Fair
07.	Training & Placement	Fair
08.	Library	Good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestion if any:



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Pratima Singh

Respondent Details:

Name of Alumnus:	Dr. Pratima Singh
Faculty:	Very good
Course studied:	PhD
Passing Year:	2008
Designation:	Assistant professor
Joining Year:	2012
E-mail ID:	Singhpratima3115.sf@hcppgcollege.edu.in

Responses:

01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Good
03.	Environment	Good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
05.	Faculty	Very good
06.	Quality of support material	Good
07.	Training & Placement	Good
08.	Library	Good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestion if any:

--



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Geeta Rani

Respondent Details:

Name of Alumnus:	Dr. Geeta Rani
Faculty:	Very good
Course studied:	PhD
Passing Year:	1992
Designation:	Assistant professor
Joining Year:	2003
E-mail ID:	rsgeeta14@gmail.com

Responses:

01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Very good
03.	Environment	Very good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
05.	Faculty	Very good
06.	Quality of support material	Very good
07.	Training & Placement	Very good
08.	Library	Good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestion if any:

Their would be latest book



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26

Individual Response of Rajesh Kumar Keshari

Respondent Details:	
Name of Alumnus:	Rajesh Kumar Keshari
Faculty:	Very good
Course studied:	M.Sc.
Passing Year:	1995
Designation:	Architect
Joining Year:	2023
E-mail ID:	rajekesh@rediffmail.com

Responses:		
01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Very good
03.	Environment	Very good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
05.	Faculty	Very good
06.	Quality of support material	Very good
07.	Training & Placement	Good
08.	Library	Good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestion if any:
Need to update College Building Infrastructure.



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Alumni Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Ravi Prakash

Respondent Details:

Name of Alumnus:	Ravi Prakash
Faculty:	Very good
Course studied:	B.Com.
Passing Year:	1987
Designation:	Director
Joining Year:	2013
E-mail ID:	jprav1969@gmail.com

Responses:

01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Very good
03.	Environment	Very good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
05.	Faculty	Very good
06.	Quality of support material	Very good
07.	Training & Placement	Very good
08.	Library	Very good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestion if any:

--



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Parents Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Sangeeta Gupta

Respondent Details:	
Parent/Guardian Name:	Sangeeta Gupta
Relationship with student:	Mother
Student Name:	Shubhangi Gupta
Enrollment Number:	KA2K25/106870198
Faculty enrolled:	Science
Course enrolled:	B.Sc.
E-mail ID:	shubhangi20078545@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Very good
03.	Environment	Very good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Very good
05.	Faculty	Very good
06.	Quality of support material	Very good
07.	Training & Placement	Very good
08.	Library	Good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestion if any:
Everything is Very perfect but only change the college's classroom cleanliness because health is very important for all students.



**NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.**

Parents Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Kalpana Yadav

Respondent Details:	
Parent/Guardian Name:	Kalpana Yadav
Relationship with student:	Mother
Student Name:	Sneha Yadav
Enrollment Number:	KA2K25/106870209
Faculty enrolled:	Science
Course enrolled:	B.Sc.
E-mail ID:	kalpana1884vns@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	Admission Procedure	Good
02.	Fee Structure	Good
03.	Environment	Good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
05.	Faculty	Good
06.	Quality of support material	Good
07.	Training & Placement	Fair
08.	Library	Satisfactory
09.	Overall rating of the college	Very good

Suggestion if any:



**NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.**

Parents Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Shabana Bano

Respondent Details:

Parent/Guardian Name:	Shabana Bano
Relationship with student:	Mother
Student Name:	Noore Misbah
Enrollment Number:	KA2K25/106870121
Faculty enrolled:	Science
Course enrolled:	B.Sc.
E-mail ID:	shabanabano9450@gmail.com

Responses:

01.	Admission Procedure	Good
02.	Fee Structure	Good
03.	Environment	Good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
05.	Faculty	Good
06.	Quality of support material	Good
07.	Training & Placement	Good
08.	Library	Satisfactory
09.	Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestion if any:

--



NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.

Parents Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Ashish Kannaujiya

Respondent Details:	
Parent/Guardian Name:	Ashish Kannaujiya
Relationship with student:	Father
Student Name:	Annu Kannaujiya
Enrollment Number:	KA2K25/106870029
Faculty enrolled:	Science
Course enrolled:	B.Sc.
E-mail ID:	kannaujiya5017@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	Admission Procedure	Very good
02.	Fee Structure	Good
03.	Environment	Good
04.	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	Good
05.	Faculty	Good
06.	Quality of support material	Good
07.	Training & Placement	Good
08.	Library	Good
09.	Overall rating of the college	Good

Suggestion if any:
Very important college



**NOTE: This response is collected with the help of google form.
Scan this QR Code to get to the form.**

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mr. Ajay Kumar Gautam

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Gautam
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Commerce
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9838797330
E-mail ID:	Algautambhu7@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mr. Raushan Kumar Jaisawal

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Raushan Kumar Jaisawal
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Statistics
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9889272702
E-mail ID:	raushanjaisawal11@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Birendra Kumar Nirmal

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Birendra Kumar Nirmal
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Zoology
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9450542658
E-mail ID:	bnirmal271@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	1
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	1
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	1

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Amit Kumar

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Amit Kumar
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Hindi
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9628801067
E-mail ID:	amitkumaresapur@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mrs. Pragya ojha

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mrs. Pragya ojha
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Hindi
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	7909604154
E-mail ID:	pragyojha1996@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Sonal singh

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Sonal singh
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Commerce
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9454864514
E-mail ID:	singhsonal2121@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Dheeraj Kumar Singh

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Dheeraj Kumar Singh
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Botany
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9044580929
E-mail ID:	dheeru.bhu@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mrs. Divyani Baranwal

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mrs. Divyani Baranwal
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Commerce
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	7380459844
E-mail ID:	divyanibaranwal@hpcpgcollege.edu.in

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	No
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	No
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mrs. Sangita Srivastava

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mrs. Sangita Srivastava
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Mathematics
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	8318664728
E-mail ID:	s.srivastava067@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Shivanand Yadav

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Shivanand Yadav
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Geography
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	6306563474
E-mail ID:	gprshiva@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Sanjay Srivastava

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Sanjay Srivastava
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Botany
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9415635846
E-mail ID:	sanjaychandravns@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Amit Pandey

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Amit Pandey
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Geography
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8528267913
E-mail ID:	Amitpandey8273@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Vishwanath

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Vishwanath
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Ancient History
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9415990082
E-mail ID:	drvn.verma@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	No
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Rajpal

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Rajpal
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Chemistry
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8924850995
E-mail ID:	RPAL11791@GMAIL.COM

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Ravikant kasaudhan

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Ravikant kasaudhan
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Sanskrit
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8960237724
E-mail ID:	srisriravi108@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Ramesh Kumar

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Ramesh Kumar
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Law
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8604250204
E-mail ID:	hrameshkmr@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mr. Babuji

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Babuji
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Zoology
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9795782447
E-mail ID:	babujigoutam@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mr. YOGENDRA PRASAD

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. YOGENDRA PRASAD
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Mathematics
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9125340961
E-mail ID:	ypyprasad@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Ragini Srivastava

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Ragini Srivastava
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Ancient History
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9450540989
E-mail ID:	raginisri14@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	No
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Sangita Shukla

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Sangita Shukla
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Zoology
Designation:	Associate Professor
Mobile Number:	7985751115
E-mail ID:	sangitahcpg@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Dr Dharmendra Kumar Gupta

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Dr Dharmendra Kumar Gupta
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Law
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8896411618
E-mail ID:	dharmendragupta61@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Anil Kumar

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Anil Kumar
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	B.Ed. (Education)
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9452489698
E-mail ID:	anilkedu@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mr. Debabrata Karmakar

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Debabrata Karmakar
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Zoology
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	7029130092
E-mail ID:	dkarmakar@hpcpgcollege.edu.in

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	1
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Mamata Verma

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Mamata Verma
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Psychology
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	09415452257
E-mail ID:	mamataverma123@gmail. Com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Dr. Sarvatej Kumar Maurya

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Dr. Sarvatej Kumar Maurya
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Chemistry
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	8299153596
E-mail ID:	sarvatejmaurya234@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Below Average
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Below Average
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	No
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	No
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Richa Singh

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Richa Singh
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Hindi
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9918616961
E-mail ID:	baby.shiv1974@gmil.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Anupam Shahi

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Anupam Shahi
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Political Science
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9415355687
E-mail ID:	shahianupam69@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Average
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Below Average
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Below Average
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Below Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	1
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Anil Kumar

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Anil Kumar
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Chemistry
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9415979336
E-mail ID:	anilk642@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Anita Singh

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Anita Singh
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	B.Ed. (Education)
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9415449081
E-mail ID:	anitasingh34@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Average
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	1
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Dr. Ashok Kr.Singh

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Dr. Ashok Kr.Singh
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Commerce
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9450540988
E-mail ID:	dr.ashoksingh1@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Very Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mr. Shri Prakash Gupta

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Shri Prakash Gupta
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Physics
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9792003576
E-mail ID:	spgupta@hpcpgcollege.edu.in

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Dr. Vandana Pandey

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Dr. Vandana Pandey
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Commerce
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	8858352557
E-mail ID:	vandana21076@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Average
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Average
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. OM SHARMA

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. OM SHARMA
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Law
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9415813223
E-mail ID:	omsharma1974@rediffmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	1
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	1

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mr. Rajendra Prasad

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Rajendra Prasad
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	B.Ed. (Education)
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9452839424
E-mail ID:	educatonraj2020@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Ashok Kumar Singh

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Ashok Kumar Singh
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Chemistry
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	8318848817
E-mail ID:	ashok.singh126.as@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Kanak Lata Vishawakarma

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Kanak Lata Vishawakarma
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	B.Ed. (Education)
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9415986005
E-mail ID:	kanaklatav1969@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Dr. Shubhra Singh

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Dr. Shubhra Singh
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Chemistry
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9451268718
E-mail ID:	Dr Shubhra 2@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Surya Pratap

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Surya Pratap
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Physics
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	7398299415
E-mail ID:	suryakvpy2012@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Devendra Pratap Singh

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Devendra Pratap Singh
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	B.Ed. (Education)
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9919414146
E-mail ID:	devendraraipratap@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. SEEMA SINGH

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. SEEMA SINGH
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	English
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	7800754569
E-mail ID:	Seemasingh16101980@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Very Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	No
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mr. Pramod kumar

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Pramod kumar
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	B.Ed. (Education)
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9935784201
E-mail ID:	pramodbhu79@gmail.com.

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	1
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	1
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Mr. Vineet Kumar

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Mr. Vineet Kumar
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Medieval and Modern History
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	7903738767
E-mail ID:	vk9bhu.vk@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Below Average
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Gajendra Das

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Gajendra Das
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Commerce
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9450540994
E-mail ID:	Gajendradas01011966@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Very Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Very Good
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Very Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Very Good
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Good
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Very Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Very Good
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Very Good
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Good
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	Yes
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Dr. Dr. Vijaya

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Dr. Dr. Vijaya
Nature of Job:	Self-Finance
Department/Faculty:	Chemistry
Designation:	Assistant Professor
Mobile Number:	9140163082
E-mail ID:	Vijayakahan@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Average
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Below Average
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Below Average
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Very Good
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Below Average
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	Yes
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	No
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	5

Teacher's Feedback Form 2025-26
Individual Response of Prof. Dr Shubhra Singh

Respondent Details:	
Teacher's Name:	Prof. Dr Shubhra Singh
Nature of Job:	Permanent
Department/Faculty:	Chemistry
Designation:	Professor
Mobile Number:	9451268718
E-mail ID:	drshubhra2@gmail.com

Responses:		
01.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.	Good
02.	The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.	Average
03.	The text/reference books are well suited to the course.	Good
04.	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	Average
05.	Provision to update curriculum from time to time.	Average
06.	How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?	Good
07.	The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.	Average
08.	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to technological advancements?	Average
09.	Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.	Average
10.	Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.	Average
11.	Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate.	Very Good
12.	Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus.	Yes
13.	Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research.	No
14.	Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?.	No
15.	How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students? (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	2
16.	Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3
17.	Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	4
18.	The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)	3



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Anamika Kumari

Course: B.A.

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (1)-I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Anamika Kumari

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Dhawal Kumar Parajapati Course: हिन्दी B.A

Department: हिन्दी

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Dhawal Kumar Parajapati



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Anubhav Shrivastava Course: B.A

Department: Hindi Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Anubhav Shrivastava

(3)

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2025-26~~ 2025-26

Name: Isha Salanki

Course: B.A

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Isha Salanki

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: VISHAWJEET

Course: B.A

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

VISHAWJEET

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(0)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name : Kuñi Sahu Course : B.A

Department : Hindi Year/Semester : (3rd) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Kuñi Sahu -
6306187895



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: VINAYAK KUSHAWATI

Course: B.A.

Department: HINDI

Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Vinayak Kushawati
2415814423



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Rishish Rishouekema Course: B.S B.sc 5th sem 2nd year
 Department: Botany Year/Semester: (III-)/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(9)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(9)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: AROHI GUPTA

Course: BSC

Department: BOTANY, CHEMISTRY

Year/Semester: (IIIrd year) I / II / III / IV / V

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>7</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>9</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>8</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>9</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>8</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>8</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	()
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	Computer facilities					
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ---25---

Name: Sanjana Kumari

Course: B.Sc

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (III) ^{year} I / II / III / IV / V th

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good ✓	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓	✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name: Shreyanshi Gupta Course: B.Sc. Hons. Zoology
 Department: Biology, Zoology Year/Semester: III / III

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓		✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities					



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 25

Name: Sanjama Patel

Course: B.Sc

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (1st) I / II / III / IV / Vth

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2022-23

Name Varsha Jaiswal

Course B.Sc.

Department Botany Zoology

Year/Semester (III) I II III IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

0	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance learning value of project/report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was
 1. Challenging | 2. Adequate | 3. Inadequate | 4. Dull | 5. Irrelevant | (2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
 1. Highly Relevant | 2. Relevant | 3. Partly Relevant | 4. Mostly Irrelevant | 5. Completely Irrelevant | (3)

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
 1. 90 to 100% | 2. 75 to 90% | 3. 50 to 75% | 4. 40 to 50% | 5. Less than 40% | (4)

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
 1. Excellent | 2. Adequate | 3. Inadequate | 4. Poor | 5. Very poor | (4)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?
 1. Very good | 2. Good | 3. Average | 4. Poor | 5. Very poor | (2)

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓	✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Sushmita Rao Course: B¹sc
Department: Botany, Zoology Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Bhanu yadan

Course: B.S.C

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓	✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Kajal pal Course: B.Sc
 Department: Botany (Zoology) Year/Semester: (3rd) I/II/III/IV (V)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Arshi

Course : B.Sc

Department : Botany

Year/Semester : (IIIrd / Year) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	--	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	--	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	---	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	--	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
---	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
---	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	---	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
---	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
---	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
--	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	--	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
--	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
---	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
---	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	Computer facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Recreational facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Vaibhavi Goswami Course: B. Com
Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (--2--) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Vaibhavi Goswami
7398300478



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 25-26

Name: *Karishma Maurya* Course: *B.Com*

Department: *Commerce* Year/Semester: *(III-)* I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Karishma Maurya

7307191599



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Gungun Jaiswal Course: B.com
 Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓	✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Gungun Jaiswal ⇒ 9451977743



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Yash Keshari

Course: B.Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: III I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Yash Keshari
9415300848



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Amees Haniza Khan. Course: B. Com.

Department: Commerce. Year/Semester: (F.F.) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>V. Good</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>V. Good</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>V. Good</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>V. Good</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>V. Good</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>V. Good</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>V. Good</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(<u>1</u>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(<u>2</u>)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(<u>3</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(<u>2</u>)
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>3</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(<u>1</u>)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(<u>2</u>)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(<u>2</u>)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(<u>1</u>)
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(<u>2</u>)
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Amees Haniza Khan
6386990251



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Raiyyam Warish

Course: B.Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>Very good</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>V good</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>good</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>good</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>good</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>good</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>V good</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(<u>1</u>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(<u>1</u>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(<u>2</u>)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(<u>1</u>)
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(<u>2</u>)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(<u>2</u>)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(<u>1</u>)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(<u>2</u>)
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(<u>1</u>)
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Raiyyam Warish

8840541375



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Raniprakash Singh

Course: B.COM

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Sampex Kumar

Course: B.COM

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (-I-) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Yashuvi Jaiswal

Course: B.Com

Department: Commece

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	good
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	✓ good
3.	Depth of the course content	good
4.	Extent of coverage of course	good
5.	Extent of effort required by students	good
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	✓ good
7.	Overall rating	good

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-2026

Name: Shikha

Course: B.Com

Department: Commece

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>V good</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>V good</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>E good</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>V good</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>V good</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>E good</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>E good</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(<u>2</u>)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(<u>2</u>)
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(<u>2</u>)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(<u>1</u>)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(<u>2</u>)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(<u>1</u>)
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(<u>2</u>)
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Mohammad Huzaifa

Course: B.Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (---I---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Very good
3.	Depth of the course content	Good
4.	Extent of coverage of course	Very good
5.	Extent of effort required by students	Good
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Very good
7.	Overall rating	Good

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

मोहम्मद हुजाifa

मोहम्मद हुजाifa - 9389326697



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Vikram Mishra

Course: LLB

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities					
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities					
9.	Sports facilities					



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name : मनीष कुमार

Course : L.L.B.

Department : विधि विभाग

Year/Semester : (२०२५) II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	09
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	05
3.	Depth of the course content	08
4.	Extent of coverage of course	06
5.	Extent of effort required by students	06
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	07
7.	Overall rating	08

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(01)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(02)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(03)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(04)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(02)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(03)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(01)
-------------	----------	-------------	------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(01)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(01)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(02)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(03)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(01)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(01)
------------	------------------	------------------	------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities					✓



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Indradev Ray

Course: LL-B

Department: LL-B

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	2

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities				✓	
9.	Sports facilities					✓



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Aishwarya Mishra Course: L.L.B
Department: Law Year/Semester: (~~1st~~) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(3)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓	✓	
2.	Fairness of evaluation				✓	
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities				✓	
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities				✓	

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓	✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities				✓	✓
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Shreyashi Mishra

Course: B.A

Department: Arts

Year/Semester: (2025) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

Sl.	Particulars	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations										7
3.	Depth of the course content										6
4.	Extent of coverage of course										5
5.	Extent of effort required by students										4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report										3
7.	Overall rating										2

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library/ holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Deepa Patel

Course : L.L.B

Department : Law

Year/Semester : (1st) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 25-26

Name: ताजुल आरिफ़ीन

Course: LL-B

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (I) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(✓)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	()
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	()
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	()
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	()
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	()
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	()
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities					✓



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Inzamam Ul Haque Course: L.L.B

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (2025) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	10
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	()
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	()
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	()
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	()
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	()
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	()
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	()
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Library facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	Computer facilities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9.	Sports facilities					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Rinki Kumari

Course: Law

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (4) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. <u>40 to 50%</u>	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	---------------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. <u>Poor</u>	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	----------------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. <u>Average</u>	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-------------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	----------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. <u>Equal</u>	3. Inferior	()
-------------	-----------------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. <u>Helpful</u>	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	()
-----------------	-------------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. <u>Rarely</u>	5. Never	()
---------------	----------	--------------	------------------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. <u>Co-Operative</u>	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	()
------------------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes <u>✓</u>	2. Marginally	3. No	()
-----------------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. <u>Indifferently</u>	3. Disparagingly	()
------------	-------------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<u>✓</u>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<u>✓</u>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<u>✓</u>				
4.	Interaction with administration					
5.	Library facilities			<u>✓</u>		
6.	Computer facilities				<u>✓</u>	
7.	Recreational facilities			<u>✓</u>		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			<u>✓</u>		
9.	Sports facilities					<u>✓</u>



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Pratima Mishra

Course: Law

Department: LL.B

Year/Semester: (2) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Ravi Pratima

Course: Law

Department: LL.B

Year/Semester: (V) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓		✓	



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Rajya Kumari

Course :

Department : U.B. 3rd year

Year/Semester : (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓	✓	
6.	Computer facilities					
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities				✓	



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-24

Name: Tushar Kumar Kestari Course: LLB

Department: Law Year/Semester: (2nd) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9th
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5th
3.	Depth of the course content	8th
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6th
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5th
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7th
7.	Overall rating	6th

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(5)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities					✓



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Raimal Kumar Yadav Course: L.L.B

Department: Law Year/Semester: (V) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-2026

Name: Prince Jaiswal

Course: LL.B.

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (3/5) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(3)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation					
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities					✓
9.	Sports facilities				✓	



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Abhinav

Course: Law (LL.B)

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (S) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities				✓	
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

13
 23
 16
 30 (42)



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Sachin Maurya

Course: LL.B

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (V) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities				✓	
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 25-26

Name: Avant Gupta

Course: LL.B

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	Poor (5th)

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(5)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty				✓	
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities					✓
8.	Extra-curricular activities					✓
9.	Sports facilities					✓



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year - 25-26

Name: SHUBHAM SINGH

Course: LLB

Department: LAW

Year/Semester: (2nd) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8th.
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6th.
3.	Depth of the course content	6th.
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7th.
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5th.
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5th.
7.	Overall rating	5th.

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(5)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities					✓
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities					✓



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-24

Name: Prachi Singh

Course: LL.B

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists in

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(3)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	Computer facilities					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7.	Recreational facilities					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8.	Extra-curricular activities					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9.	Sports facilities					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Shahbaz Ahmed Course: LLB
 Department: LAW Year/Semester: (11) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>Very Good</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>Good</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>Good</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>Good</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>Good</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>Good</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>Very Good</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	<u>(2)</u>
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	<u>(3)</u>
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	<u>(5)</u>
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	<u>(4)</u>
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	<u>(2)</u>
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	<u>(3)</u>
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	<u>(2)</u>
-------------	----------	-------------	------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	<u>(1)</u>
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	<u>(1)</u>
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	<u>(2)</u>
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	<u>(3)</u>
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	<u>(1)</u>
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	<u>(1)</u>
--------	---------------	-------	------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	<u>(1)</u>
------------	------------------	------------------	------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Serajul Aarfeen

Course: LL.B.

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	()
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Saniya Reya Ansari Course: LL.B.

Department: Law Year/Semester: (~~II~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(5)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 25-26

Name: Muhammad AdeeB

Course: LLB

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (~~---~~) VI I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	()
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021-22

Name: Satish Kumar Course: Law

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities					✓
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year Law

Name: Abhishek

Course: Law

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (7th) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7.	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
4.	Interaction with administration			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Library facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	Computer facilities					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7.	Recreational facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8.	Extra-curricular activities					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Anjali Dixit

Course: Law

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(3)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Sujati Patel,

Course: LL.B,

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (I/II) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 25-26

Name: Sanadhyo Ryo

Course: U.B.

Department: Law

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: VIJAYA JAISWAL Course: LAW

Department: LLB Ph.D. Year/Semester: (-----) I/II/III/IV

Vijaya Jaiswal

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-26

Name: Rohit Singh Course: B. Ed.
 Department: Education Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	()
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-26

Name: Pragya Maurya

Course: B.Ed

Department: B.ed

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	6
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-26

Name: Rimjhim Singh

Course: B.Ed

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-26

Name: Dhananjay Maurya Course: B.Ed (Education)
 Department: B.Ed (Education) Year/Semester: (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1	Academic content		✓			
2	Fairness of evaluation	✓	✓			
3	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4	Interaction with administration	✓				
5	Library facilities		✓			
6	Computer facilities	✓				
7	Recreational facilities		✓			
8	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2024-25~~ 2024-26

Name: SHIV PRATAP SINGH

Course: B.Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	10
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	10
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(3)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-26

Name: Swati Rai Course: B.Ed.
 Department: B.Ed EDUCATION Year/Semester: (III-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	/				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	/	/			
3.	Interaction with faculty		/			
4.	Interaction with administration		/			
5.	Library facilities	/	/			
6.	Computer facilities	/				
7.	Recreational facilities	/				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	/				
9.	Sports facilities		/			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Ritu Rai

Course: B.Ed.

Department: B.Ed.

Year/Semester: (I) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	<u>7</u>	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>7</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>8</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>8</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>8</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>8</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>8</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(<u>3</u>)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(<u>2</u>)
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(<u>2</u>)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(<u>3</u>)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(<u>1</u>)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(<u>2</u>)
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(<u>1</u>)
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Shweta Kumari

Course: B. Ed

Department: B. Ed.

Year/Semester: (I-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7.5
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9.5
7.	Overall rating	8.5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(4)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: DEEPTI YADAV

Course: B.Ed

Department: B.Ed

Year/Semester: (1) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Riya Yadav

Course: B.Ed.

Department: Education Department Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities					✓



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Rohit Paul*

Course: *B.Ed*

Department: *B. Ed*

Year/Semester: (*I*) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>8</i>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>8</i>
3.	Depth of the course content	<i>7</i>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<i>8</i>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<i>7</i>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>8</i>
7.	Overall rating	<i>8</i>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(<i>1</i>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(<i>3</i>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(<i>3</i>)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<i>4</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<i>2</i>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(<i>2</i>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(<i>3</i>)
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<i>2</i>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<i>2</i>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(<i>2</i>)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(<i>3</i>)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(<i>1</i>)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(<i>1</i>)
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(<i>2</i>)
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Abhinav Srivastav Course: B.Ed.

Department: B.Ed. Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	09
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	09
3.	Depth of the course content	09
4.	Extent of coverage of course	09
5.	Extent of effort required by students	09
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	09
7.	Overall rating	09

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(3)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(7)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities					✓
9.	Sports facilities					✓



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Vaishnavi Tripathi

Course: B.Ed

Department: B.Ed

Year/Semester: (2025) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	---	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	---	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	--	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Equal	3. Inferior	()
-------------	--	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	()
-----------------	--	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	()
---------------	----------	--	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	()
---	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Marginally	3. No	()
--------	---	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	()
--	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
6.	Computer facilities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Poochi Jaiswal

Course :

Department : B.Ed

Year/Semester : (~~---~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Radhika Singh

Course: B. Ed.

Department: Education

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Sweta Kumari

Course: M.A.

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (I) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	1 (✓)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	1 (✓)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	1 (✓)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	1 (✓)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	1 (✓)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Sweta Kumari - a

mob - 7275027941

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	1 (✓)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	1 (✓)
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	1 (✓)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	1 (✓)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	1 (✓)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	1 (✓)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	1 (✓)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	1 (✓)
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	1 (✓)
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Rinky Yadav

Course: Hindi

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (M.A.) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>8</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>7</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>7</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>8</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>7</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>7</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>7</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(<u>1</u>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(<u>2</u>)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(<u>2</u>)
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(<u>1</u>)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(<u>2</u>)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(<u>1</u>)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(<u>1</u>)
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(<u>1</u>)
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Rinky Yadav 9369776782



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Akash Sharma

Course: M.A

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (Ist) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	✓ 8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	✓ 9
3.	Depth of the course content	✓ 7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	✓ 8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	✓ 9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	✓ 8
7.	Overall rating	✓ 9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	✓ 1 (✓)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	---------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	✓ 2 (✓)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	---------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	✓ 3 (✓)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	---------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	✓ 1 (✓)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	---------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	✓ 1 (✓)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	---------

7269830907

Akash

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	✓ 2 (✓)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	---------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	✓ 2 (✓)
-------------	----------	-------------	---------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	✓ 1 (✓)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	---------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	✓ 1 (✓)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	---------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	✓ 2 (✓)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	---------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	✓ 1 (✓)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	---------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	✓ 1 (✓)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	---------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	✓ 1 (✓)
--------	---------------	-------	---------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	✓ 1 (✓)
------------	------------------	------------------	---------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Karan Maurya

Course: M.A

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (Ist) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	✓ 8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	✓ 9
3.	Depth of the course content	✓ 8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	✓ 9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	✓ 8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	✓ 9
7.	Overall rating	✓ 8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	2 (✓)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	2 (✓)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	3 (✓)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	3 (✓)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	1 (✓)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(L)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Sanchita Sharma

Course: M.A.

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9
3.	Depth of the course content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8
7.	Overall rating	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrelevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1
---	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input type="checkbox"/> Highly Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Completely Irrelevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2
--	--	--	--	--	---------------------------------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

<input type="checkbox"/> 90 to 100%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 75 to 90%	<input type="checkbox"/> 50 to 75%	<input type="checkbox"/> 40 to 50%	<input type="checkbox"/> Less than 40%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1
-------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Adequate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1
------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Average	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2
---	-------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Sanchita Sharma

8687568713

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

<input type="checkbox"/> Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Average	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Poor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2
------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

<input type="checkbox"/> Superior	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Equal	<input type="checkbox"/> Inferior	<input type="checkbox"/> 1
-----------------------------------	---	-----------------------------------	----------------------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Poor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2
---	-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Poor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1
---	-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

<input type="checkbox"/> Very Helpful	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Helpful	<input type="checkbox"/> Indifferent	<input type="checkbox"/> Unhelpful	<input type="checkbox"/> Cumbersome	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2
---------------------------------------	---	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

<input type="checkbox"/> Very Often	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Often	<input type="checkbox"/> Sometimes	<input type="checkbox"/> Rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> Never	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2
-------------------------------------	---	------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

<input type="checkbox"/> Co-Operative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indifferent	<input type="checkbox"/> Discouraging	<input type="checkbox"/> 1
---------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------------	----------------------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Marginally	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1
---	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proudly	<input type="checkbox"/> Indifferently	<input type="checkbox"/> Disparagingly	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1
---	--	--	---------------------------------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Shweta Chauhan

Course: M. A.

Department: Hindi

Year/Semester: (First) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Shweta Chauhan

M-NO- 9956261001

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-2026

Name: Sonam Maurya

Course: M.Sc

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (Ist) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-2026

Name: Sheha Maurya

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Bodany

Year/Semester: (1st) V / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Khushi Maurya

Course: Msc

Department: Botany

Year/Semester 2025-26 I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Sakshi Pandey

Course: M.Sc (Botany)

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (~~25-26~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Damini Singh

Course: M.Sc

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (~~I~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Janvi Prajapati

Course: M.Sc

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (---I---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓	4	
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Rahul Patel

Course: M.Sc. I Semester

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (I) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	3
4.	Extent of coverage of course	2
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities					✓



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Nisvan Verma*

Course: *M.Sc Ist Semester*

Department: *Botany*

Year/Semester: *(I---) I / II / III / IV*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3.	Depth of the course content	
4.	Extent of coverage of course	
5.	Extent of effort required by students	
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7.	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities					✓
9.	Sports facilities					✓



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Tasneem Jahan

Course: M.Sc

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: 2025-2026 I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2023-26

Name: Sakshi Vishwakarma

Course: M.Sc 2^{sem}

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (1st) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content			✓		
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities				✓	
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Akanksha Mishra

Course: M.Sc 2nd sem

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (Ist) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>6</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>A</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>C</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>6</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>S</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>A</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>S</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(<u>3</u>)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>3</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(<u>2</u>)
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>3</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(<u>2</u>)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(<u>A</u>)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(<u>2</u>)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(<u>2</u>)
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(<u>1</u>)
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
3.	Interaction with faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Library facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	Computer facilities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Neha

Course: M. Sc (Botany) I- sem

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (Ist) I / II / III / IV

Please give a rating of your course on the following

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor	

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	11
5.	Extent of effort required by students	11
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

1. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

2. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

3. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(5)
---------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

4. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities				✓	



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Mansi Yadav

Course: M.Sc

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(5)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Shikha Kumari Course: M.S.C.

Department: Botany Year/Semester: (2025-24) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(4)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Nikita Prajapati Course: M.Sc (Botany)

Department: Botany department Year/Semester: 2nd (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

94

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(9)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(7)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities				✓	
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Shreya Tiwari

Course: MSc (Botany)

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities					✓
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities				✓	
8.	Extra-curricular activities				✓	
9.	Sports facilities				✓	



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Amisha Kumari Course: B M.Sc (Botany)
 Department: Botany Year/Semester: (~~I~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓	✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty					
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Nidhi Rai

Course: M.Sc (Botany)

Department: M.Sc (Botany)

Year/Semester: (M.Sc) 2nd Year I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>9</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>8</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>9</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>8</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>9</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>8</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>8</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. <u>Adequate</u>	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
----------------	--------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. <u>Relevant</u>	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. <u>75 to 90%</u>	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(<u>2</u>)
---------------	---------------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. <u>Poor</u>	5. Very poor	(<u>4</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	----------------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	----------------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. <u>Very good</u>	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
---------------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. <u>Equal</u>	3. Inferior	(<u>2</u>)
-------------	-----------------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. <u>Good</u>	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. <u>Very Good</u>	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
---------------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. <u>Helpful</u>	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(<u>2</u>)
-----------------	-------------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. <u>Very Often</u>	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(<u>1</u>)
----------------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. <u>Co-Operative</u>	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(<u>1</u>)
------------------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. <u>Yes</u>	2. Marginally	3. No	(<u>1</u>)
---------------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. <u>Proudly</u>	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(<u>1</u>)
-------------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Interaction with administration			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Library facilities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
6.	Computer facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Sports facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2024-25~~

Name: Nancy Maurya

Course: Botany

Department: M.Sc

Year/Semester: (M.Sc) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	6
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓		✓	
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities					
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Kaushal. Kumar. Keshari

Course: M.Sc.

Department: Bodany

Year/Semester: (2nd) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(9)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓	✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-2025

Name: Varsha

Course: M.Sc (Botany)

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (III-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>7</u>
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>7</u>
3.	Depth of the course content	<u>6</u>
4.	Extent of coverage of course	<u>6</u>
5.	Extent of effort required by students	<u>7</u>
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>7</u>
7.	Overall rating	<u>8</u>

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(<u>3</u>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(<u>3</u>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(<u>2</u>)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(<u>2</u>)
-------------	----------	-------------	--------------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(<u>2</u>)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(<u>3</u>)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	--------------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(<u>1</u>)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(<u>2</u>)
--------	---------------	-------	--------------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(<u>1</u>)
------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Library facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	Computer facilities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7.	Recreational facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
9.	Sports facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Ritu Chawasiya

Course: M.Sc Botany

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (2) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Swamina

Course: M.Sc (Botany)

Department: Botany

Year/Semester: (II) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities				✓	
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities				✓	



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2024-25

Name: Anubhuti Sinha Course: Boston M.Sc (Botany)

Department: Botany department Year/Semester: ^{2nd} (III) / I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities			✓		
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities		✓			



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: VIKASH YADAV Course: M.Sc (Botany)

Department: SCIENCE BOTANY Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration			✓		
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities			✓		



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Vishal Kumar Gautam Course: M.Sc IInd Sem

Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (2025) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Vishal Kumar Gautam

Roll No- 10625721042

Mobil No- 7080686510



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Subham Sharma

Course: M.Sc - Chemistry

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name - Subham Sharma

Mob. No - 9120391224

Exam Roll No - 10625721039



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Shyam kumar keshari Course: M.Sc. IIIrd Sem (Chemistry)

Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (III-) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(/)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(/)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(/)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(/)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(/)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(/)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(/)
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(/)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(/)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(/)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(/)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(/)
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(/)
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Name - Shyam kumar keshari

Examination Roll No. :- 10625721037

Mobile Roll No. :- 9795300766



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Himanshu Yadav Course: M.Sc. Chemistry
 Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3.	Depth of the course content	5
4.	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Himanshu Yadav
 Examination Roll No - 10625721021
 Mobile = 8874273908



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name : _____ Course : _____
 Department : _____ Year/Semester : (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities					
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities			✓		

Name - Sakshi K. Prasad (mobile: 7398056075)

Enroll. - 10625721026

Class 3rd Semester



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-2026

Name: ANGAD NISHWAKARMA Course: M.Sc

Department: CHEMISTRY Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

MOB NO - 9118959651
 Exam-no - 10625721007



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-2026

Name: GOWDI PRAKASH

Course: M.Sc

Department: CHEMISTRY

Year/Semester: (~~III~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(<u>1</u>)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	--------------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	()
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	()
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	()
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	()
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	()
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	()
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Ravi Kumar

Course: M.Sc

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	()
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	()
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	()
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	()
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	()
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	()
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	()
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Ravi Kumar

Phone No. 9532076304

Roll No. ~~1067210~~ 10625721034



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Roshan BhartiCourse: M.ScDepartment: ChemistryYear/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name - Roshan BhartiMol. No - 9005488963Ex. Roll No - 10625721035



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: JAGRITI SHUKLA Course: M.Sc. (3rd sem)

Department: CHEMISTRY Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name: Jagriti Shukla
Roll No: 0625721009
Mobile No: 9569943787



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Shweta Prajapati Course: M.Sc. (Chemistry)

Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (1st) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Roll no → 16

Shweta Prajapati

7275539449



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Saumya

Course: M.Sc. (Chemistry)

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: Ist / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Saumya

92355718400



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Anchal Gupta

Course: M.Sc Chemistry

Department: Chemistry

Year/Semester: (I) II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(5)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities			✓		
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Mobile no - 6386484245

Anchal Gupta



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Shiba Rahman* Course: *MSc (Chemistry)*

Department: *Chemistry* Year/Semester: (~~---~~) *I* / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Shiba Rahman
9696244001



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: ~~Rahul Kumar~~ Rahul Kumar Course: M.Sc (Chemistry)

Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (I) II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities					
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		✓
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name - Rahul Kumar
Mobile - 8174884603
Signature - Rahul Kumar



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Khushboo Kumari*

Course :

Department : *Chemistry*

Year/Semester : (-----) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(9)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty			✓		
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Name - *Khushboo Kumari*

Roll - *10625721010*

Mob. No - *9369 777053*



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: Anil Kumar Gupta Course: Chemistry (Msc.)

Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3.	Depth of the course content	4
4.	Extent of coverage of course	4
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓	✓		
5.	Library facilities					
6.	Computer facilities				✓	
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities			✓		
9.	Sports facilities				✓	

Name → Anil Kumar Gupta

Roll no → 34

Mo No → 7651942851

Anil Gupta



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025

Name: Shweli Gupta Course: M.Sc Chemistry

Department: Chemistry Year/Semester: (Ist) / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Mobile No. - 9795090086

Shweli Gupta



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -----

Name: *Krishna chandra yadav* Course: *M.Sc (Chemistry)*

Department: *Chemistry* Year/Semester: (*I*) *M/II/III/IV*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	6
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(3)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(3)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation			✓		
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities				✓	
6.	Computer facilities					✓
7.	Recreational facilities			✓		
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name → *Krishna chandra yadav*

Mobile no → *9026233279*

Signature → *Krishna*



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Mandakini Tiwari Course: M.Com
 Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	<u>2</u> /Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	--------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<u>1</u> . Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
----------------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

<u>1</u> . 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
-----------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

<u>1</u> . Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
----------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	<u>2</u> /Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	----------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	<u>2</u> /Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	----------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	<u>2</u> /Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	-----------------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

<u>1</u> /Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
---------------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

<u>1</u> /Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
---------------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	<u>2</u> /Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	-------------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	<u>2</u> /Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	-----------------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

<u>1</u> /Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
------------------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

<u>1</u> /Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
---------------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

<u>1</u> /Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
-------------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	Interaction with faculty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Interaction with administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Library facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	Computer facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	Recreational facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Sports facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Mandakini
 Mob. 7860260706



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Sanchita Senapati

Course: M. Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Sanchita Senapati
9415622515



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Anshika Shayma

Course: M. Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	8
5.	Extent of effort required by students	9
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Anshika Shayma
6988117177



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Shrishti Chaurasia Course: M.Com

Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(1)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Shrishti Chaurasia
Mob: 9651134184



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Anshu Pathak

Course: M.COM

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (Ist) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	7
4.	Extent of coverage of course	7
5.	Extent of effort required by students	7
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7.	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content		✓			
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Anshu Pathak
9696451042



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Kaitika Kumari

Course: M.Com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (---I---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Kaitika Kumari
9453553932



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Deepali Raj

Course: M.com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I)/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(2)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(2)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty		✓			
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Deepali Raj
8188010643



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 25-26

Name: Anurag Verma

Course: M.com

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (I) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	8
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(0)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(2)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(2)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities	✓				
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Anurag Verma
9125835037



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name : Shwanti Jaiswal

Course : M.COM

Department : Commerce

Year/Semester : (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-------

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-------

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-------

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(1)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-------

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-------

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-------

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation		✓			
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration	✓				
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities		✓			
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Shwanti

MOB No - 7985857326



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Pratham viswakarma Course: M. Com

Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (~~III~~) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(9)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(1)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities	✓				
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Name Pratham viswakarma

Mob No. 730 726 1957



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-2026

Name: Vaishali Shama Course: M.Com

Department: Commerce Year/Semester: (III) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(4)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities		✓			
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities		✓			

Vaishali

Mb.no. 9140383461



HARISHCHANDRA P.G. COLLEGE VARANASI (U.P.)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2025-26

Name: Devanshi Shukla

Course: M.Com.

Department: Commerce

Year/Semester: (III) I/II/III/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3.	Depth of the course content	9
4.	Extent of coverage of course	9
5.	Extent of effort required by students	8
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1. Challenging	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Dull	5. Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1. Highly Relevant	2. Relevant	3. Partly Relevant	4. Mostly Irrelevant	5. Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1. 90 to 100%	2. 75 to 90%	3. 50 to 75%	4. 40 to 50%	5. Less than 40%	(1)
---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------------	-----

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1. Excellent	2. Adequate	3. Inadequate	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Average	4. Poor	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

8. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel that your programme is ?

1. Superior	2. Equal	3. Inferior	(2)
-------------	----------	-------------	-----

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the College as a whole?

1. Very good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

1. Very Good	2. Good	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory	5. Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------	-----

11. How do you find the College's administrative offices?

1. Very Helpful	2. Helpful	3. Indifferent	4. Unhelpful	5. Cumbersome	(2)
-----------------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----

12. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department /College?

1. Very Often	2. Often	3. Sometimes	4. Rarely	5. Never	(2)
---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----

13. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?

1. Co-Operative	2. Indifferent	3. Discouraging	(1)
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----

14. Has your time at the College been intellectually enriching?

1. Yes	2. Marginally	3. No	(1)
--------	---------------	-------	-----

15. After leaving the College how will you talk about it?

1. Proudly	2. Indifferently	3. Disparagingly	(1)
------------	------------------	------------------	-----

16. Overall Rating of the Programme (tick in the relevant cell)

Sl	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1.	Academic content	✓				
2.	Fairness of evaluation	✓				
3.	Interaction with faculty	✓				
4.	Interaction with administration		✓			
5.	Library facilities		✓			
6.	Computer facilities		✓			
7.	Recreational facilities	✓				
8.	Extra-curricular activities	✓				
9.	Sports facilities	✓				

Devanshi

Mobile no. 8004625079