




ALUMNI FEEDBACK REPORT

Session 2025-26

Faculty

Arts Science Commerce Management IT & Computer Law Education




Course Studied

Course Studied




Passing Year

■ Responses




Profession

■ Responses



RESPONSES


1. Admission Procedure.



2. Fee Structure.



3. Environment.




4. Infrastructure & Lab Facilities.



5. Faculty




6. Quality of support material.



7. Training & Placement.



8. Library.



9. Overall Rating of the College.



Suggestions:

Need to update College Building Infrastructure.

College needs to have a well equipped auditorium.

Upgradation of library with latest books.



COORDINATOR

IQAC

Harishchandra P.G. College
Varanasi




PARENTS/GUARDIAN FEEDBACK REPORT

Session 2025-26


Faculty Enrolled in

■ Arts ■ Science ■ Commerce ■ Management ■ IT & Computer ■ Law ■ Education




Course enrolled

■ Course Studied




RESPONSES


1. Admission Procedure.




2. Fee Structure.




3. Environment.




4. Infrastructure & Lab Facilities.




5. Faculty



6. Quality of support material.



7. Training & Placement.



8. Library.



9. Overall Rating of the College.



Suggestions:

Everything is very perfect but only change the college's classroom cleanness because health is very important for all students.

Very important college


COORDINATOR

IQAC
Harishchandra P.G. College
Varanasi






Teacher's Feedback Report of 45 Teachers

Session 2025-26


Nature of Job

■ Permanant ■ Self Finance




Designation

■ Professor ■ Associate Professor ■ Assistant Professor




Department

■ Responses




Responses


1. The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program.




2. The curriculum and syllabus are well organised and suitable to the program.




3. The text/reference books are well suited to the course.




4. The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.




5. Provision to update curriculum time to time.




6. How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components?




7. The curriculum has a good balance between theory and practical.




8. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements.




9. Feasibility of NEP-2020 with available infrastructure.




10. Continuous internal assessment and comprehensive evaluation.




11. Time given for the completion of the syllabus is adequate




12. Students feel the need to go beyond the syllabus




13. Topic in the course encouraged further reading and research




14. Does the course provide enough experiential learning through research, field work and project works?




**15. How Assignment and Mid-Term Exams are beneficial for students?
(Rate on the scale of 1-5)**




16. Continuous internal assessment is conducted fairly and systematically. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)



17. Workload distribution is fair and manageable. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)



18. The campus environment is safe, inclusive, and welcoming. (Rate on the scale of 1-5)




COORDINATOR
IQAC
Harishchandra P.G. College
Varanasi



Students Feedback Report of 135 Students

SESSION: 2025-26

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderately Good	Somewhat Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Particulars	Rating								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	-	-	-	-	1 [0.7%]	6 [4.4%]	20 [14.8%]	60 [44.4%]	48 [35.6%]
2. Applicability/relevance to real life situations.	-	-	-	4 [3.0%]	5 [3.7%]	10 [7.4%]	38 [28.1%]	51 [37.8%]	27 [20.0%]
3. Depth of the course contents	-	-	1 [0.7%]	-	4 [3.0%]	15 [11.1%]	29 [21.5%]	37 [27.4%]	49 [36.3%]
4. Extent of coverage of course	-	1 [0.7%]	-	-	6 [4.4%]	14 [10.4%]	27 [20.0%]	43 [31.9%]	44 [32.6%]
5. Extent of effort required by students	-	-	-	3 [2.2%]	7 [5.2%]	5 [3.7%]	24 [17.8%]	59 [43.3%]	37 [27.4%]
6. Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1 [0.7%]	-	-	5 [3.7%]	3 [2.2%]	10 [7.4%]	29 [21.5%]	38 [28.1%]	49 [36.3%]
7. Overall Rating	-	1 [0.7%]	-	1 [0.7%]	6 [4.4%]	4 [3.0%]	14 [10.4%]	52 [38.5%]	57 [42.2%]

2. Syllabus was

Challenging	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant
56 [41.5%]	71 [52.6%]	08 [5.9%]	-	-

3. Your background for benefitting from the course was

Highly Relevant	Relevant	Partly Relevant	Mostly Irrelevant	Completely Irrelevant
40 [29.6%]	81 [60.0%]	12 [8.9%]	02 [1.5%]	-

4. How much syllabus was taught in the class?

90-100%	75-90%	50-75%	40-50%	Less than 40%
43 [31.9%]	61 [45.2%]	20 [14.8%]	05 [3.7%]	06 [4.4%]

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

Excellent	Adequate	Inadequate	Poor	Very Poor
37 [27.4%]	58 [43.0%]	15 [11.1%]	23 [17.0%]	02 [1.5%]

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
42 [31.1%]	80 [59.3%]	13 [9.6%]	-	-

7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is

Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
49 [36.3%]	70 [51.9%]	14 [10.4%]	02 [1.5%]	-

8. When you meet students. Who have taken a similar programme at other universities do you feel that your programme is

Superior	Equal	Inferior
45 [33.3%]	83 [61.5%]	07 [5.2%]

9. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the college as a whole?

Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Very Poor
59 [43.7%]	62 [45.9%]	13 [9.6%]	01 [0.7%]	-

10. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?

Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
77 [57.0%]	37 [27.4%]	19 [14.1%]	02 [1.5%]	-

11. How do you find the college administrative offices?

Very Helpful	Helpful	Indifferent	Unhelpful	Cumbersome
41 [30.4%]	83 [61.5%]	10 [7.4%]	01 [0.7%]	-

12. Did you participate in any of the extracurricular activities?

Very often	Often	Sometimes	Rarely	Never
37 [27.4%]	43 [31.9%]	32 [23.7%]	15 [11.1%]	08 [5.9%]

13. What is the attitude of the teachers to extracurricular activities?

Co-operative	Indifferent	Discouraging
104 [77.0%]	30 [22.3%]	01 [0.7%]

14. Has your time at the college has been intellectually enriching?

Yes	Marginally	No
92 [68.1%]	41 [30.4%]	02 [1.5%]

15. After leaving the college how will you talk about it?

Proudly	Indifferently	Disparagingly
107 [79.3%]	26 [19.3%]	02 [1.5%]

16. Overall Rating of Programme

Sr. no.	Particulars	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
1.	Academic content	82 [60.7%]	42 [31.1%]	11 [8.1%]	-	-
2.	Fairness of evaluation	40 [29.6%]	79 [58.5%]	15 [11.1%]	01 [0.7%]	-
3.	Interaction with faculty	61 [45.2%]	46 [34.1%]	25 [18.5%]	03 [2.2%]	-
4.	Interaction with administration	40 [29.6%]	65 [48.1%]	26 [19.3%]	01 [0.7%]	03 [2.2%]
5.	Library Facilities	40 [29.6%]	41 [30.4%]	41 [30.4%]	10 [7.4%]	03 [2.2%]
6.	Computer Facilities	29 [21.5%]	51 [37.8%]	24 [17.8%]	19 [14.1%]	12 [8.9%]
7.	Recreational Facilities	42 [31.1%]	48 [35.6%]	33 [24.4%]	06 [4.4%]	06 [4.4%]
8.	Extra-curricular Activities	47 [34.8%]	51 [37.8%]	24 [17.8%]	07 [5.2%]	06 [4.4%]
9.	Sports Facilities	37 [27.4%]	52 [38.5%]	27 [20.0%]	08 [5.9%]	11 [8.1%]


COORDINATOR
IQAC
Harishchandra P.G. College
Varanasi